Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM Document 261-38 Filed 12/06/24 Page 1 of 145

2024 Dec-06 PM 05:39 U.S. DISTRIC COURT N.D. OF A ABAMA

Exhibit 35

```
Page 1
        IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
       FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
2
                  SOUTHERN DIVISION
3
4
     CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:21-cv-1291-AMM
 5
 6
     BOBBY SINGLETON, et al.,
 7
             Plaintiffs,
 8
 9
     v.
     WES ALLEN, in his official capacity as
10
     Alabama Secretary of State, et al.,
11
             Defendants.
12
13
     CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:21-cv-01530-AMM
14
15
     EVAN MILLIGAN, et al.,
16
              Plaintiffs,
17
18
     V.
     WES ALLEN, in his official capacity as
19
     Alabama Secretary of State, et al.,
20
              Defendants.
21
22
23
```

```
Page 2
                     (continued)
1
2
     CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:21-cv-01536-AMM
3
 4
     MARCUS CASTER, et al.,
 5
              Plaintiffs,
 6
 7
     v.
     WES ALLEN, in his official capacity as
 8
     Alabama Secretary of State, et al.,
 9
              Defendants.
10
11
12
              DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF:
13
              R. VOLNEY RISER II, Ph.D.
14
                   August 15, 2024
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

Page 3

S T I P U L A T I O N S

by and between the parties through their respective counsel that the deposition of R. VOLNEY RISER II, Ph.D. may be taken before Lane C. Butler, a Court Reporter and Notary Public for the State at Large, at the law offices of Whatley Kallas, 2001 Park Place North, Suite 1000, Birmingham, Alabama, on the 15th day of August, 2024, commencing at approximately 10:00 a.m.

AND AGREED that the signature to and the reading of the deposition by the witness is waived, the deposition to have the same force and effect as if full compliance had been had with all laws and rules of Court relating to the taking of the depositions.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED

AND AGREED that it shall not be necessary

for any objections to be made by counsel

Page 4 to any questions except as to form or 1 leading questions and that counsel for 2 the parties may make objections and 3 assign grounds at the time of trial or at 4 the time said deposition is offered in 5 evidence, or prior thereto. 6 In accordance with the Federal 7 Rules of Civil Procedure, I, Lane C. 8 Butler, am hereby delivering to Dylan 9 Mauldin, Esq., the original transcript of 10 the oral testimony taken the 15th day of 11 August, 2024. 12 Please be advised that this is 13 the same and not retained by the Court 14 Reporter, nor filed with the Court. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

```
Page 5
               APPEARANCES
1
2
     FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
3
4
5
     James U. Blacksher, Esq.
     ATTORNEY AT LAW
6
7
     825 Linwood Road
     Birmingham, Alabama 35222
8
     jublacksher@gmail.com
 9
10
11
     FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
12
13
     Abha Khanna, Esq. (via Zoom)
14
15
     ELIAS LAW GROUP
16
     1700 Seventh Avenue
     Suite 2100
17
     Seattle, Washington 98101
18
     akhana@eliaslawgroup.com
19
20
21
22
23
```

```
Page 6
         APPEARANCES (continued)
1
2
     FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
3
4
     Dylan Mauldin, Esq.
5
     Charles McKay, Esq.
6
7
     501 Washington Avenue
     Montgomery, Alabama 36104
 8
     dylan.mauldin@alabamaag.gov
10
     charles.mckay@alabamaag.gov
11
12
     FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
13
14
     Riley Kate Lancaster, Esq.
15
     Michael Taunton, Esq.
16
     BALCH & BINGHAM
17
     1901 Sixth Avenue North, Suite 1500
18
     Birmingham, Alabama 35203
19
     rlancaster@balch.com
20
     mtaunton@balch.com
21
22
23
```

```
Page 7
                     INDEX
1
2
                                       PAGE NO.
     EXAMINATION BY:
3
                                            10
     Mr. Mauldin
4
5
 6
 7
 8
                  EXHIBITS
 9
10
     FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
11
     Exhibit 1 Curriculum Vitae
                                             14
12
     Exhibit 2 Expert Report
                                             14
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

Page 8 I, Lane C. Butler, a Court 1 Reporter and Notary Public, State of 2 Alabama at Large, acting as Notary, 3 certify that on this date, pursuant to 4 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 5 the foregoing stipulation of counsel, there came before me at the law offices 7 of Whatley Kallas, 2001 Park Place North, Suite 1000, Birmingham, Alabama, 9 commencing at approximately 10:00 a.m., 10 on the 15th day of August, 2024, R. 11 VOLNEY RISER II, Ph.D., witness in the 12 above cause, for oral examination, 13 whereupon the following proceedings were 14 had: 15 16 17 ROBERT VOLNEY RISER II, Ph.D., 18 having first been duly sworn, 19 was examined and testified as follows: 20 21 Thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: 22 Attorneys, usual stipulations? 23

Page 9 1 MR. MAULDIN: Yeah. MR. BLACKSHER: Yes. 2 Do you want to read and sign 3 your deposition or just waive it? 4 THE WITNESS: I don't know if 5 I've ever been asked before. 6 MR. BLACKSHER: Well, what it is 7 is you get to read it and make sure that 8 the court reporter accurately recorded 9 what you said, but you can't change what 10 you said. So it's really just --11 THE WITNESS: Oh, no. I'll try 12 to edit it, so. 13 MR. BLACKSHER: Yeah. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 15 MR. BLACKSHER: You don't want 16 17 to do that. THE WITNESS: It's too great a 18 19 temptation. MR. BLACKSHER: We'll waive the 20 read and sign, then. 21 THE WITNESS: I'll trust you. 22 23

Page 10 EXAMINATION BY MR. MAULDIN: 1 All right. Good morning, Dr. 2 My name is Dylan Mauldin with the 3 Riser. Alabama Attorney General's Office. 4 represent the secretary of state. 5 So you've been deposed before; 6 7 right? Uh-huh. Α. 8 I'll go over some brief ground 0. 9 rules for the deposition. So this 10 deposition is an opportunity for us to 11 understand your testimony in this matter. 12 I'll be asking you questions, and you 13 will answer under oath. Do you 14 understand that? 15 Yes. 16 Α. And so in this conversation, Ο. 17 there's --18 19 Α. Yes. -- there's different rules. And Ο. 20 we have a court reporter here who'll be 21 recording your answers, so it's important 22 that you give audible answers instead of, 23

Page 11 1 you know, nodding or --Or a thumbs up. Yeah. Okay. 2 Do you understand that? Q. 3 Α. Yes. 4 Similarly, it's important that 5 Q. you answer each question, or if you do not understand the question, please let 7 me know and I'll try to ask it again so we stay on the same page. Will you do 9 10 that? Α. Yes. 11 MR. BLACKSHER: You have to 12 speak up. Because of where the mic is, 13 you're going to have to speak a little 14 louder. Not into the mic but --15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 MR. BLACKSHER: -- just speak up 17 a little louder. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 So sometimes a witness will give 20 Ο. an answer and then later in the 21 deposition realize they forgot something 22 or misspoke. If you need to add or 23

Page 12 correct at any point in the deposition, 1 please let me or your counsel know. 2 3 you do that? Yes. Α. 4 Your counsel may object from 5 Q. time to time. Often an objection is to 6 the form of the question. And when that 7 happens, your counsel is just making a 8 record, but you're still required to 9 answer the question. If your counsel has 10 some other objection and thinks you 1.1 should not answer, he'll make that clear. 12 But if the objection is just to the form 13 of the question, you still answer. Do 14 you understand? 15 Α. Yes. 16 We'll occasionally take breaks, 17 but if you need a break, let me know. 18 But if there's a question pending, I'll 19 need you to answer the question before we 20 take a break. 21 Is there any reason that you 22 cannot provide complete and truthful 23

Page 13 1 testimony here today? There is not. 2 Do you have any questions before Ο. 3 we begin? 4 Α. No. I think we're good. 5 All right. Please state your 0. 7 full name. Full name is Robert Volney Riser Α. II. 9 And where do you live? 10 Q. Livingston, Alabama. Α. 11 What's your address? Ο. 12 106 Pickens Street. Α. 13 And how long have you lived Q. 14 15 there? I think 15 years. Α. 16 And where were you before? 17 Q. Tuscaloosa. Α. 18 All right. And I'll go ahead 19 Ο. and mark --20 Actually, let me -- I can't do 21 Let me try to think. I have been 22 math. in Livingston for 19 years, yeah. 23

Page 14 1 yeah, 19. Okay. And do you have a copy of 2 your CV with you today? 3 No, not with me. Α. 4 Okay. And what about your 5 Ο. report? 6 I don't have my report either. 7 Α. Q. Okay. 8 THE WITNESS: Do you have mine? I have copies. 10 Ο. Okay. All right. Α. 11 Then I'm going to go ahead and 12 Q. mark your CV as Exhibit 1. That's what 13 I'm showing you now. 14 (Exhibit 1 was marked for identification 15 and is attached.) 16 Α. 17 Okay. And your report as Exhibit 2, 18 what I'm showing you now. 19 (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification 20 21 and is attached.) All right. So, where did you 22 receive your undergraduate education? 23

Page 15 Florida State. 1 Α. And you received two bachelor 2 Ο. degrees? 3 I did. Α. 4 From there? 5 Q. MR. BLACKSHER: Could you speak 6 up just a little bit, Rob? I'm having 7 trouble hearing. It's aging, so. 8 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Yes, I have two bachelor's 10 Α. degrees from Florida State. 11 And when did you receive the 12 first one? 13 14 Α. 1995. And what was your major? Ο. 15 Humanities. Α. 16 And what about the second one? Ο. 17 The second one is 1998. Α. 18 And what was your major then? 19 Q. History. 20 Α. History. And then you received 21 Q. a master's from the University of 22 Alabama? 23

Page 16 Α. Correct. 1 And what was your concentration? Q. 2 There isn't really a Α. 3 concentration at the master's level. Ι 4 wrote a thesis in Southern history. 5 And what was that thesis about? 0. 6 The 1901 Constitutional Α. 7 Convention. 8 Q. And you also wrote your 9 dissertation on that convention; correct? 10 For your Ph.D. 11 The Ph.D. dissertation Yeah. 12 expanded and built upon it. 13 (Discussion held off the record.) 14 (By Mr. Mauldin) Okay. And what 15 0. year did you receive your Ph.D.? 16 2005. Α. 17 And where did you receive it 18 Ο. from? 19 The University of Alabama. Α. 20 So on your master's thesis about 21 Ο. the 1901 Constitutional Convention, what 22 about the convention did you write about? 23

Page 17 So -- my word, it's been a 1 Α. minute since I've looked at that thing. 2 So it -- I'm trying to think what its 3 title was. The title was Between Scylla 4 and Charybdis, and I forget the subtitle. 5 But what it was about was the constitutional convention delegates' 7 constitutional debates, by which I mean in the context of the U.S. Constitution, 9 the potential constitutionality or 10 unconstitutionality of what they were 11 attempting to do. 12 So is, it correct to say your 13 master's thesis was about whether the 14 1901 Constitution was unconstitutional 15 under the federal constitution? 16 It was about the delegates' 17 debates, arguing amongst themselves 18 really over would they get away with what 19 they were doing. 20 21 Q. Okay. A. 22 Really. And was that the same topic as 23 0.

Page 18 1 your thesis? So the -- pardon me. Do you 2 mean my dissertation? 3 Oh, sorry. Yes, your Ο. 4 dissertation. 5 Well, sometimes people call them Α. 6 Ph.D. --7 Ο. Yeah. 8 -- thesis, so I had to kind of check. So the dissertation, it -- you 10 know, it essentially kept what I had 11 started as a master's student, just 12 expanded it in terms of depth and breadth 13 of the general analysis of the original 14 question. But the dissertation expanded 15 into the early voting rights challenges 16 to the 1901 Constitution. And so the 17 full title of that dissertation, it ended 18 up being the convention and then and also 19 the anti- -- as I call them, the 20 anti-disfranchisement cases. 21 All right. And what is your 22 current occupation? 23

Page 19 I'm a professor of history. 1 Α. And where at? Ο. 2 The University of West Alabama. Α. 3 How long have you taught there? Ο. 4 I'm beginning my 20th year 5 Α. tomorrow. 6 And where were you -- were you 7 0. teaching before that? 8 When I was hired as UWA, I was a Α. 9 law student at Alabama. I was in my 10 first year. So I left law school to take 11 the teaching job at UWA. 12 All right. And do you teach at 0. 13 any other schools? 14 No, I do not. Α. 15 All right. And in your -- in Q. 16 Exhibit 2, in your report, on page 1, you 17 say that you teach a course on -- you 18 teach or have taught courses in U.S. 19 constitutional history. 20 I have. A. 21 And what does that class cover? 22 0. It depends. It can depend. 23 Α.

Page 20 There -- it could be, in some cases, I've 1 taught just sort of a general survey of 2 U.S. constitutional history which divides 3 at Reconstruction, so part 1, part 2. 4 I've taught -- on occasion over the past 5 20 years, I've taught, say, directed 6 readings courses with particular 7 I think I've had one or two students. 8 undergraduate seminars maybe dealing with 9 constitutional history topics. 10 So, how often do you teach the 11 0. class on constitutional history? 12 At the undergraduate level, 13 maybe once every three years. 14 What about at any other level? 15 Ο. At the postgraduate level and 16 Α. for our MAT and MED programs, I offer 17 directed readings courses, both 18 antebellum and postbellum, annually. 19 And earlier, you talked about 20 Ο. how the class was divided into two parts. 21 So, is it two courses? 22 Ours divide -- well, part Α. Yeah. 23

Page 21 1 divides -- it ends at 1868. Part 2 1 2 picks up at 1868. And where does part 2 end? 3 It can theoretically end -- it Α. 4 could theoretically come up to the 5 present. I'm trying to think if I have 6 ever had any readings assigned. I 7 generally stop the readings around 1965. 8 And you're not sure if you've 9 ever continued into the present with that 10 course? 11 I don't know that I've ever 12 assigned something on a more present 13 topic, not -- not as like a stand-alone 14 -- a stand-alone topic kind of thing. 15 Okay. And you also list -- you 16 Ο. teach or have taught courses in 17 African-American history? 18 19 Α. Yes. What does that course cover? 20 Ο. Most often on campus -- and it's A. 21 been -- it's been a while since I taught 22 the regular sort of surveys of 23

Page 22 African-American history. Most recently, 1 I offered a little reading seminar for my 2 undergraduate majors on Alabama 3 African-American history. 4 And what time periods did that 5 Q. cover? For that course, we studied the 7 Α. period -- we studied from Reconstruction up through the mid-20th Century. 9 And you also teach a -- teach or Ο. 10 have taught a course in the Gilded Age 11 and the Progressive Era? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Tell me a little bit about that Ο. 14 15 course. Well, Gilded Age and Progressive 16 Α. Era, I mean the name comes from the 17 standard periodizations of U.S. history. 18 Gilded Age and Progressive Era spans 19 quite a period because the Gilded Age 20 picks up -- it overlaps with the end of 21 Reconstruction, and it would carry 22 forward -- for the Progressive Era 23

Page 23 portion, that would carry forward through 1 the First World War. So this -- generally, what time Q. 3 period does this course cover? 4 Gilded Age and Progressive Era 5 Α. course? Well, I mean, the -- can you 7 0. give me the dates, the start and end date? 9 I generally would start it circa 10 1877 and end it with the First World War, 11 so 1919. 12 And you teach a course in the 13 Jazz Age and Great Depression. Can you 14 tell me a little bit about that, please. 15 I have offered that. So that 16 Α. course picks up where the Gilded Age and 17 Progressive Era course leaves off. And 18 for that course, we study the 1920s and 19 1930s. 20 Okay. And it doesn't go beyond 21 the 1930s? 22 It does not on my campus, no. 23 Α.

Page 24 Okay. And you also teach or Q. 1 have taught a course on the history of 2 the American South. What do you teach in 3 that course? 4 It has been a very long time 5 since I offered --6 7 Q. Okay. -- coursework on the Southern Α. 8 history. Other colleagues of mine teach 9 those courses now. 10 11 0. Okay. That's my area of research, but 12 it's not an area where I teach very 13 often, not directly. 14 Okay. How long do you think 15 it's been since you've taught that 16 course? 17 Α. At least a decade. 18 Okay. And if you look at 19 0. Exhibit 1, your CV, on page 5, you list 20 courses regularly taught. 21 Uh-huh. Α. 22 And so that's U.S. History I, Ο. 23

Page 25 U.S. History II, Historical 1 Methodologies, Senior Seminar, Civil War 2 Era, the Novel As History. 3 Uh-huh. Α. 4 So the courses you list on page Q. 5 1 of your report are not courses you 6 regularly teach? 7 Let me make sure. I'm trying to Α. 8 read what I've listed here. I would say that neither is an exclusive list. 10 Ο. Okay. 11 I don't know how old this --12 this doesn't look like a very old CV. 13 can't -- I could not begin to tell you 14 when I last updated that section, so. 15 Well, could you take a look at 16 your CV and take some time to tell me if 17 this is an up-to-date CV. 18 It won't be badly out of date, 19 Α. I'm sure, just from the formatting. I 20 could not give you an exact date. I 21 would just say it's -- it's not a very 22 old one. 23

Page 26 Okay. And you said that neither 1 Ο. of these lists of courses is an 2. exhaustive list? 3 Α. No. 4 What other courses have you Q. 5 taught recently that are not in either of these two documents? 7 Pacific History does not appear on either of these documents. 9 And what is that course? Ο. 10 It's a survey of the history of Α. 11 Oceania. Well, it would be the -- the 12 non-American Pacific, Pacific -- not 13 really the Pacific rim as a -- we're 14 talking about Oceania, the islands of 15 Oceania. 16 I'm running a list in my head. 17 What have I taught this past year, mainly 18 for graduate reading level. You know, I 19 can pull out of our records system if you 20 would like, and I can provide it to you 21 directly or through Blacksher. I can 22 give you a roster of -- if you need to 23

Page 27 see it, I can give you an exact census of 1 courses I have offered. 2 We can see about that. 3 Ο. Yeah. Α. Ο. So --5 It's easy to get. If you decide Α. you want it, it's easy for me to get it. 7 On the first page of your CV, 8 you list teaching research and advising 9 interests. And one of those is voting 10 rights and elections. 11 12 Α. Correct. Is that a course you teach or an 13 interest? 14 It's just a research area. No. 15 It comes from having done, well, 25 years 16 of research on the disfranchising era. 17 On page 2 of your report, you 18 said, "The unifying theme of my 19 scholarship is the development and 20 practical operation of political and 21 constitutional systems in the Jim 22 Crow-era South." 23

Page 28 When you're talking about the 1 Jim Crow-era South here, what time period 2 3 are you referencing? The period where I -- where I Α. 4 work most actively would be roughly the 5 1880s through the first decade of the 6 7 20th Century. Do you have scholarship outside 8 Ο. of that area? 9 The only time I have ever 10 Α. published outside of the early 20th 11 Century is in a pair of articles that 12 appear in the Alabama Law Review, and 13 they were treating the tenure as a --14 tenureship as chief justice of -- is it 15 okay if I look at the CV? 16 Oh, yes. Yes. Go ahead. 17 I want to make sure I'm giving Α. 18 you the right --19 Yes. Feel free to reference Ο. 20 either of those. 21 It's -- yeah. It was working on 22 Α. Chief Justice Torbert. 23

Page 29

- Q. In what time period would that have been?
- A. He was chief justice in the 1970s.

- Q. Okay. You mentioned the disenfranchisement era. What time period is that?
- A. That -- that's what I focus on most in my research, so that's roughly -- you know, we refer to a period as the disfranchisement era, and we would consider it roughly spanning the late 1880s through the 19-teens. We can parse that out into two sub-periods, the first period where the Southern states dabbled in suffrage restriction; whereas in the second period, they expanded that and went straight into disfranchisement, which would be to limit or strip citizenship rights as a basis of the state constitutions.
 - Q. And what year would that second time period, the disenfranchisement

Page 30 period, begin? 1 That begins in the summer of 2 1890 in Mississippi. 3 All right. So you said at the 4 beginning of the deposition you have been 5 deposed before. 6 I have. 7 Α. How many times? Ο. 8 9 Α. One. And what case was that? 10 Q. It was in the Thompson case. Α. 11 And have you testified in court 12 Q. before? 13 Α. Never. 14 And have you provided expert 0. 15 services in litigation before? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Aside from the Thompson case, 18 are there any others? 19 In the -- it'll be -- I 20 Α. think it'll be cited in here. 21 McLemore v. Hosemann. It's a case out of 22 -- and I want to remember which district 23

Page 31 in Mississippi -- the Southern District 1 of Mississippi. 2 And did you provide an expert 3 Q. report in that case? 4 I can't remember whether it was 5 -- I think they titled it a declaration. 6 And did you have an expert 7 report or a declaration in the Thompson 8 case? I did. 10 Α. So also on page 2 of your 11 0. report, you say that you are frequently 12 called upon as an expert reviewer. 13 14 do you mean by that? So in historic -- in academic Α. 15 publishing, the gold standard would be 16 double-blind peer review, or just 17 peer-reviewed publishing. And so -- so 18 if it's a journal editor and they've 19 received a manuscript -- this would be a 20 historical journal -- they might send it 21 to me or some other number of reviewers. 22 The way it works there, it's double 23

Page 32 blind, so I don't know who the author of 1 the article is and the author of the 2 article is never told who I am. 3 Book publishers will approach me 4 as well. That's usually single blind. 5 But in that case, it's the -- it's a 6 I think all of mine have been 7 either university presses or trade 8 operations associated with university presses. But they will send me book 10 manuscripts for outside -- for outside 11 expert opinions. 12 And the materials you're 13 reviewing, are those mostly covering, you 14 know, events from the 1880s to the early 15 1910s? 16 It's most common that I'm sent 17 material, yes, from the late 19th and 18 early 20th Centuries. 19 And is there ever material 20 Ο. outside of that time range? 21 There has been. It's pretty 22 rare that somebody will approach me with 23

Page 33 something out of my time range, but it 1 has happened. 2 So, is it fair to say that your Q. 3 expertise is in, you know, the time 4 period between 18 -- late 19th Century, 5 early 20th Century? 7 Α. Yes. Do you have an expertise outside Ο. of that time period? 9 I do not. I really don't do Α. 10 research and publication outside of that 11 time period. And thus -- because it's 12 not a time period where I spend a lot of 13 time in the archives and, in relative 14 terms, even in the secondary literature, 15 and as such, I would not hold myself out 16 as an expert for anything outside of the 17 late 19th and early 20th Centuries. 18 So, what did you do to prepare 19 for this deposition? 20 Specifically for today's 21 22 meeting? Ο. Yes, yes. 23

Page 34 I spoke with Mr. Blacksher, and 1 we discussed my report. And in the --2 MR. BLACKSHER: And don't answer 3 with the details of what our discussions 4 That's attorney-client, or 5 attorney work product privilege. 6 Yes. So, did you review any 7 documents? 8 Α. My own report. And did you speak to anyone 10 Q. other than your counsel? 11 Α. No. 12 And so you've authored a report 13 Ο. for this case; correct? 14 Α. Correct. 15 And how many hours do you Q. 16 estimate you spent preparing this report? 17 So in terms of direct work on 18 this particular report of the drafting 19 and preparation of the document you have 20 in front of you, I double-checked 21 yesterday, and I billed 32 hours. 22 truth is I've been working on this stuff 23

Page 35

for 25 years, so, you know, thus, it --well, 25 years.

- Q. And what methodology did you use to reach the opinions in this report?
- Well, I'm a qualitative 5 Α. historian, so I work in -- which means 6 I'm working with -- first of all, when it 7 comes to primary source material, I can 8 only work with what exists. So if 9 something was burned, destroyed, lost, 10 hidden a hundred years ago, I can't know 11 about it. So I deal with what I can find 12 through manuscript sources. Manuscript 13 sources here can -- because I'm dealing 14 with a matter of public policy, it can 15 involve anything from, say, published 16 decisions of the Alabama Supreme Court, 17 hypothetically speaking, of federal 18 It could -- you know, I could courts. 19 track -- I could track things backwards. 20 Sort of the -- really the gold standard 21 would be personal correspondence and 22 diaries and materials like that. 23

1

2

3

4

there's that material. And then there's also the secondary literature and so where you're examining what other historians have found, what other historians have concluded.

At the end of the day, these things all come down to matters of educated conjecture. You -- you know, you're going to have a multitude of voices. You're going to have a multitude of sources. And your job as a historian is to attempt to make sense of it all, not simply to document and accumulate facts, because that would just make me an antiquarian. The purpose of the historian is to explain why this thing happened and how. And so we do that, I do that the best as -- the best as I can. And you have to strive for objectivity in everything that you do. You have to let the materials and the research direct where you go.

Q. And do you intend to testify

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 37 about opinions beyond the opinions in 1 your report? 2 Can you clarify? Α. 3 So -- sure. At trial, do Ο. Yes. you intend to provide opinions that are 5 not contained in this report? I would not think I would be 7 asked to testify upon anything beyond the 8 time period involved in the report and in 9 terms of what I hold myself out to be an 10 expert in. 11 And you said that was, roughly 12 speaking, late 19th Century, early 20th 13 14 Century? Correct. 15 Α. And is everything in your 16 report -- does it take place within that 17 18 time period? I don't think anything in my 19 report takes place -- is it okay if I 20 21 look? Yes, yes. Feel free. 22 0. Where did I end? Α. 23

Page 38 Take your time. 1 Q. I can't remember. Where do I Α. 2 I think I end with the fight 3 between -- yes. I end with the fight 4 between the Lily Whites and the 5 Black-and-Tans within the Southern 6 Republican Party in the early 20th 7 century, so. 8 So around what year do the Ο. 9 events to, you know, frustrate like an 10 interracial political coalition, what 11 year do those begin in your report? 12 From my report -- my report 13 begins in Reconstruction. I think the 14 earliest -- I think I address Frederick 15 Bromberg's legislative service, I want to 16 say beginning -- I want to say he's 17 elected in 1868 off the top of my head. 18 Feel free to look at your 19 Ο. report. 20 So it would begin -- it would 21 Α. begin effectively with emancipation. 22 Efforts to -- and I'm speaking efforts to 23

Page 39 frustrate interracial political 1 coalitions would begin immediately with 2 emancipation. 3 So on page 3 of your report, 4 Ο. paragraph 7, the second sentence, you 5 talk about from emancipation forward, 6 there was the struggle about political 7 participation. You said earlier that 8 your report is concerned with late 19th Century, early 20th Century. So when you 10 say emancipation forward, are you talking 11 about the rest of that time period? 12 Yeah. For the duration of --13 for the duration of the period covered in 14 my report. 15 Similarly, in your conclusion on 16 Q. page 21, paragraph 37, you say from 17 emancipation onward. 18 Yeah. Α. 19 The same meaning there? 20 Ο. 21 Α. Uh-huh. 22 Okay. Q. Yes. 23 Α.

Q. Thank you.

- A. By the end of today, I will remember to say "yes" for the court reporter in the first instance, so.
- Q. So page 3, paragraph 6, you say, "Theft, fraud, steal, destroy" are terms "casually cast about in debates about disfranchisement." What do you mean by that sentence?
- A. In that opening -- this would have been paragraph 6 where I'm -- the opening summary. Is that right?
 - O. Yes.
- A. Yeah. On page 3. So what I'm talking about there is the language of political debate and political discourse, you know, what is -- what -- what words are partisans choosing to use to describe either themselves or their opponents.

 And you'll find, if you read manuscript sources, if you look in newspaper accounts, really editorial accounts from newspapers, in partisan debates of those

Page 41 who are seeking to restrict, curb, deny, 1 you know, otherwise impede 2 African-Americans' political voice, you 3 will find that they commonly will -- they 4 will talk about, you know -- so if it's 5 the opponents of African-American voting, 6 they're going to talk of qualifications 7 and intelligence. If you're talking 8 about, say, African-American voters or politicians and their allies, they are 10 going to complain that their opponents 11 are -- it's always they're trying to 12 defraud them of their vote, they're 13 trying to steal their vote, they're 14 trying to destroy their vote. So -- and 15 these terms are cast about to the point 16 in late 19th Southern history that it 17 becomes -- well, as I use the term here, 18 tropes, right. So yeah. 19 Do you list any examples in this 20 Q. report of anyone using those tropes? 21 I think the only two people that 22 I quote at any length in this report are 23

Page 42 Frederick Bromberg and Sydney Bowie. 1 can look to -- we can -- I could flip 2 through and find where I've quoted 3 Bromberg or Bowie directly, but I don't 4 -- I don't know what language they either 5 did or did not use. Well, what I was referencing was 7 the theft, fraud, steal, destroy 8 language. Are there any examples of that in your report? 10 I'm not recalling necessarily 11 off the top of my head, no. 12 Speaking of Frederick Bromberg, 13 so you use him as -- you say his 14 political career is kind of an example of 15 just the way the debates around, you 16 know, Black voting took place in late 17 18 19th Century, early 20th Century; correct? 19 I use Bromberg as an example of 20 sort of the shifting contours within 21 Southern Republican political discourse 22 with respect to African-Americans. 23

Why did you pick him? 0.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

I picked Bromberg rather -- I Α. picked a single character because when it comes to the institutional histories of the two -- of the two main political parties -- not the only political parties but the two main political parties -- the 7 Republican Party and the Democratic Party, then through the 19th Century, they are very large, broad-based coalitions covering, you know, the vast 11 geography. And within that you have so 12 many competing philosophies and factions 13 that you can easily lose the forest for 14 the trees. So in this case, I had a 15 really strong example of a Southern 16 Republican who had a very long life and 17 18 career, and so by following the -following -- you know, using him sort of 19 as a quide to pull through -- through 20 that period, at least within Southern 21 Republican circles. 22

And so, why was he

Ο.

representative of the larger shifts within the party?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Bromberg represents a bit of a Α. curiosity, first of all, because he lived so very long, so the fact that he's going to have lived for such a long time. Bromberg also becomes an interesting example because of his experience as a young man during the Civil War era. a native Alabamian, but he spends the war in Cambridge. He's a lab assistant to Charles Eliot. And, you know, like 50 years later, Charles Eliot is the legendary president of Harvard University. So Bromberg is important because Bromberg saw everything and he knew everyone. Bromberg also is significant because in the early 20th Century, it becomes sort of a pet hobby of his to try to correct the new histories of Reconstruction that are being ginned up, not only by Southern scholars, but primarily for the benefit

Page 45 of Southern scholars, partisans, the 1 various histories of Reconstruction we 2 would refer to as the Dunning School. 3 And so Bromberg, by the end of his life, 4 that's his project. When he's not 5 practicing law, he's trying to correct these various lies of Reconstruction. 7 The irony about Bromberg -- and irony is what historians love -- is 9 Bromberg was a lifelong opponent of 10 African-American political participation. 11 The problem with Southern history, late 12 19th Southern history, is it is cast as a 13 clear, stark dichotomy, White Democrats, 14 Black Republicans. But that never was 15 entirely true. And the Republican Party 16 itself is a vast, great experiment in 17 interracial political cooperation. But 18 because it is so vast, it means that 19 they're not all going to agree on any one 20 given thing. And so Bromberg is a very 21

-- he's a very early, he's a very

prominent opponent of African-American

22

Page 46 political participation, which was 1 typical of the faction of Republicans 2 that he represented, at least as a young 3 4 man. So I believe you mentioned the 5 Ο. Dunning School --6 Α. Uh-huh. 7 -- at some point. Could you Ο. 8 elaborate on what that is? So the Dunning School takes its 10 Α. name from William Archibald Dunning. 11 Dunning -- I'm forgetting off the top of 12 my head where Dunning is from. Dunning 13 is not a Southerner. Dunning is a 14 professor at Columbia University, and he 15 began -- in the 1880s, he begins -- he 16 begins -- when I say "publishing," I'm 17 going to use that broadly to refer to 18 books, articles, essays, lectures --19 publishing on the history of Civil War 20 and Reconstruction. And it's not simply 21 that -- excuse me. It's not simply that 22 what Dunning is arguing in his work takes 23

Page 47 a very pro-Southern, anti-abolitionist 1 That is the tack he takes. 2 arguably most important about Dunning is 3 the constellation of young scholars that 4 he attracts to his seminar table. Again, 5 not all are from the South. The most 6 famous ones are young men and some women 7 from Southern states who then, in the 8 1890s and 19-teens, really well up until 9 the 1920s and '30s, they are continuing 10 to publish histories of Civil War and 11 Reconstruction. And specifically, it's 12 always Civil War and Reconstruction. And 13 they all follow sort of a basic 14 framework, which is abolition was bad, 15 the war was the tragic result of 16 abolitionists meddling, that 17 Reconstruction is a carnival of theft, 18

dominated the political scene in the 20 21

They argue that this was bad. South.

They champion the rise of what they call

fraud, and abuse, that African-Americans

the New South. These histories are 23

19

written in service, literal service of the disfranchisement movement across the south.

So when the Dunning School was publishing histories of Reconstruction, to use my own phrase, those are not so much histories of Reconstruction as they are histories for disfranchisement. So in order to justify politically, legally, socially the disfranchisement of African-Americans, they are publishing -- they are publishing scholarship that is designed to poison the water, so to speak.

Q. So, would you say the -- kind of a theme of your report is, you know, pushing back on that narrative that it -- it kind of succeeded in a way, at least insofar as it suggested that, you know, Black voters had some success in, you know, the late 19th -- late 19th century, early 20th century?

A. How do you mean "success"?

Q. Well, they were able to participate in the political process and had some control in the Southern states.

Until -- you would see Α. widespread African-American political participation in every Southern state up until the eve of that particular state adopting -- whether the state adopted a full-on constitution such as Alabama did in 1901 or Virginia in 1902. In other cases, you have where states concluded disfranchisement by amendment, and the most famous, or infamous of those is North Carolina. Up until that point, you still had -- I wouldn't necessarily use the term "significant," but you had -you had obvious identifiable African-American political participation in the South up until the disfranchising constitutions were put into effect. And so in Alabama, that was true

- Q. And so in Alabama, that was true of until the 1901 Constitution?
 - A. You would have seen significant

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 50 African-American voting in elections, 1 2 yes. And I believe you also state in 3 0. your report that a lot of 4 African-Americans' votes were, you know, 5 stolen essentially. Could you elaborate 7 on that? How did the theft occur? Α. 8 Ο. Yes. 9 Well, in some ways, it can be --10 Α. well, we -- their ballots can be stolen. 11 Their ballots can be cheated. You could 12 have a situation -- say, if you were in 13 a -- and this isn't just true of Alabama. 14 If you were in an area of a Southern 15 state that had a very large 16 African-American population, say -- so 17 we're talking the river counties in 18 Mississippi, we're talking about the 19 Black Belt of Alabama, we're talking 20 about eastern North Carolina. All right? 21 So areas where you still have 22 significant, if not major- == majority or 23

Page 51 plurality African-American populations --1 you will -- you would often see in those 2 precincts, say, elections officials, 3 election registrars, probably more often 4 just through simple misadministration of 5 the count or of who maintained the 6 polling place, you could see them 7 routinely miscount stuff, miscount 8 ballots if they needed to. And by 9 "needed to," I mean, if they think they 10 need to pad the total. You would see 11 violence or the threat of violence. 12 would occur in areas of Southern states 13 where you have legitimate political 14 context between White factions. So you 15 would see -- say in Reconstruction, 16 you're going to see a fight between local 17 Democratic groups and local Republicans 18 are going to be fighting over the count. 19 You would see -- later in the South when 20 the Populist Movement breaks out, you're 21 going to see -- in some states, you're 22 going to see a three-way fight. 23

Page 52 Typically, Populists and Republicans 1 would cooperate through alliances. And 2 in those cases, in areas where the 3 contest is very close, very fractious, 4 you're going to find -- you're going to 5 find the theft and fraud that I alluded 6 to there will venture into violence or 7 the threat of violence. 8 And was the theft and fraud, was that limited to votes of 10 African-Americans or was it any voter? 11 The very best single example I 12 can give of how that would work in 13 Alabama would be the Sayre bill. 14 And what year would that have Ο. 15 16 been? That's -- it's adopted -- I want Α. 17 to say it was adopted in the 1892 18 legislature. 19 Okay. 20 Ο. So we're talking about the first 21 Α. -- or maybe 18- -- 1892 may have been the 22 first time it was enacted. So the Sayre 23

Page 53 bill let the governor hand-pick a, 1 essentially a helper who would be 2 installed inside the precinct, and the 3 helper's job was to help the voters with 4 their ballots. And I don't know if 5 Alabama had yet adopted an Australian 6 ballot at that point. 7 What word was that? Ο. 8 An Australian ballot. Α. What's that? 10 Q. So an Australian ballot -- so Α. 11 there are secret ballots, and then there 12 are Australian ballots. And the 13 difference between a secret ballot and an 14 Australian ballot is an Australian ballot 15 -- and it was first used in the British 16 colony of Australia. It's a preprinted 17 ballot produced by the state. I mean 18 state in the small "s" sense here. 19 And so this helper could, if he 20 so chose, help a particular voter, an 21 illiterate voter, for example, a blind 22 voter, a physically infirm voter, right, 23

Page 54 you know, mark their ballot. And so that 1 becomes a really easy way for the 2 governor -- in our -- in Alabama's case, 3 it's Governor Thomas Jones -- to appoint 4 a helper -- in his case, it's always 5 going to be a small "c" conservative 6 Democratic partisan -- who would, say, be 7 inside the polling place to, quote, 8 unquote, help see that the ballots are 9 10 marked correctly. The practical effect of that is 11 to help see that ballots are not marked 12 for Populist and Republican candidates. 1.3 So if you come to the polling place 14 intending to vote for Populists and 15 Republicans, if you come to the polling 16 place and you intend to, you know, vote 17 while being Black, right, you could 18 reasonably expect that that helper is 19 going to manipulate your ballot in some 20 21 way. 22 Q. Okay. So that is one example of how 23 Α.

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-373-3660 800.808.4958

the theft and fraud would work.

- Q. So you used the term "small 'c' conservative." I believe on page 11 of your report, you talk about Alabama's conservative White majority. When you use the term "conservative," what do you mean?
- A. Resistance to change and progress. Attempting to cling to some vision of the past.
- Q. And what would that have been in this time period?
- A. Well, in context of the late

 19th Century, they're clinging to the

 image of the world before the Civil War

 where all the men were White and all the

 voters were Democratic, or in that

 case -- or a Whig. You would have had

 Whigs in the antebellum period as well.

 They're harking back to -- they're

 harking back to a world where the men

 that they would consider to be the best

 men held sway and did not have to, you

know, brook disagreement from any other political faction.

In the context of here

(indicating), I think we're speaking of
the Bourbon era. What they're worried
about -- I see the footnote. Yeah,
Bourbon era. What the conservatives are
worried about is they're worried about
various breakaway White factions.

- Q. So, is it fair to say, in this time period when you use "conservative," you're referencing, you know, White supremacists essentially?
- A. I'm going to say the White majority. And the reason that I push back on the use of the term "White supremacists" there is -- it's not that it's untrue. It's that that's a more -- it's not quite anachronistic, but that's not necessarily what you would have always heard. You would have seen the phrase used in this time period, "White supremacy," but more often than not,

Page 57 you'll just see, say, White political 1 leaders, generally older ones, are going 2 to -- they're generally just going to 3 call themselves conservatives, 4 conservative Democrats. 5 So just to kind of ask in a 6 0. 7 different way, when you use "conservative," are you referring to a 8 set of views that extend beyond race? 9 Well, I mean, sure. Bourbon 10 Α. Democrats, it's a -- you know, it's a 11 whole package of ideas. 12 What other ideas would it 13 14 include? Again, you know, this is going 15 to change. Shifting faction, currency 16 questions come up a lot. And it's --17 18 when I say it comes up a lot, I mean, literally, they will name their factions 19 over questions of the currency. For 20 example, Greenbackers, they want to print 21 paper money. Gold Democrats want to keep 22 the United States on the gold standard. 23

Page 58 Silver Democrats want -- you know, want 1 to have themselves on the bimetallic 2 standard. So you could have -- although 3 a Silver Democrat certainly would not be 4 a conservative. So you will find, you 5 know, different White factions of --6 within the political system organizing 7 around one political question or one 8 political, economic, commercial question. 9 It can change over time. 10 So, what did you think -- you Ο. 11 know, late 1890s, Alabama's White 12 majority, what were they trying to 13 conserve? 14 Well, primarily, they're trying 15 to shut down the threat of the Populist 16 Movement, which really we know by the end 17 of the 19th Century -- I mean, the 18 Populist Party itself had been more or 19 less subsumed into the Democratic Party, 20 so it's not really the question 21 necessarily of Democratic control, but as 22 long as -- what they're really concerned 23

about is making sure that those White factions remain under control. And in this case, by the late 1890s, we're talking about the residual effects of the Populist revolt.

- And so, what was the Populist revolt?
- So it surrounds the rise, the Α. rise and climax of various farm-based organizations. From the beginning of the 1880s, you would have had things like the Patrons of the Grange. "Grange" is a term that comes from Scotland. You would have had farmers alliances. You would eventually see the birth of something called the People's Party. They never called themselves Populists. opponents called them Populists. They would have called themselves -- well, they would have called themselves the People or Populites. 21

The reason that they achieved strength is because when White voters

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

Page 60 split, it means that different white 1 factions can appeal to African-American 2 voters and potentially gain power through 3 interracial political coalitions such as 4 -- so in the case of the late 19th 5 Century -- in late 19th Century Alabama, 6 conservative White Democrats are trying 7 to make sure that sort of 8 Populist-oriented Democrats do not make league with African-American voters and 10 win a majority in the statewide 11 There are also concerns that elections. 12 Republicans will formally enter into 13 alliances with Populists, and those will 14 be explicitly interracial political 15 alliances. And so in order to maintain 16 their hold on power, by the end of the 17 19th Century, conservative Alabama 18 Democrats, they resolved that the answer 19 is going to be to strip African-Americans 20 of the vote, because once you have 21 stripped them of the vote, you simply 22 would not potentially have enough 23

Page 61 breakaway Whites to turn elections one 1 way or the other. 2 So, were the interracial Ο. 3 alliances successful at any point in 4 Alabama in this time period? Did they 5 result in, you know, winning an election? 6 I don't want to be cute here. 7 What do you mean by success? 8 Ο. Well, just win an election. like, you know, did the Republican Party, 10 you know, win elections because of 11 interracial --12 You still have Republican 13 congressmen in Alabama as late as 1900. 14 You elect a congress- -- like a 15 Republican congressman from the 16 Birmingham area. You will see 17 significant -- you'll see significant 18 votes cast for presidential -- Republican 19 presidential candidates in the election 20 returns. You in -- so in 1890, at the 21 Democratic state convention, you have a 22 very contested convention. It appears 23

Page 62 the conservatives are going to lose 1 control. And they are -- internally, 2 they almost lose control to a 3 Jeffersonian faction. At the statewide level, in 1892 and 1894, and definitely 5 in 1894, all evidence that we have 6 indicates that the Populists or the 7 Populist-oriented candidate for governor 8 of Alabama, Reuben Kolb, won, and he was 9 not inaugurated as governor because his 10 11 predecessor called out the National Guard to keep him away from the capitol and his 12 supporters. So you have a serious 13 political threat on their hands. And he 14 could not have done that without the 15 support at the ballot box of 16 African-American voters. It does not 17 mean that he himself was some sort of, 18 you know, equal voting rights advocate. 19 20 I would say he certainly was not. But he would have enjoyed, potentially -- when 21 they were counting those votes across the 22 state, he certainly enjoyed some number 23

Page 63 of votes cast by African-Americans. 1 Were there any other statewide 2 elections where the interracial coalition 3 qot --4 We never see it in Alabama. Α. No. 5 6 Ο. Okay. They were -- the Bourbons were 7 Α. really successful in stamping it out. 8 And when you say they were 9 Q. "successful in stamping it out," are you 10 referencing the type of -- the fraud type 11 of maneuvers you referenced earlier? 12 Fraud is still -- fraud is Α. No. 13 still -- fraud is still relatively 14 It's something that -- by the 15 common. time of the 1901 convention, there's --16 this rather odd phenomena has begun to 17 occur, and you'll have -- various 18 Democratic leaders will get up, and 19 essentially they will offer their 20 testimony and they'll confess to -- if 21 they're not confessing to their own 22 political sins, they'll confess to the 23

Page 64 political sins that they know or have 1 heard of or that they would intimate that 2 they have firsthand knowledge of. You 3 know, they're complaining that they're 4 teaching their boys that it's okay to 5 steal, that sort of thing. And so they 6 are attempting to get that culture of 7 casual political fraud and political 8 theft -- they'll candidly admit to it --9 as part of their program, as part of 10 their program to disfranchise 11 African-Americans. There's a quip from a 12 now-dead historian named Sheldon Hackney 13 that what it amounts to is they're going 14 to close banks to stop bank robberies. 15 In page 10 of your report --16 Q. MR. BLACKSHER: You want some 17 water? 18 THE WITNESS: I could. 19 Do you want to take a quick Q. 20 break? 21 I don't think we need a break. 22 If he'll just bring me a glass of water. 23

Page 65 (Discussion held off the record.) 1 (By Mr. Mauldin) So you say in 2 your report that Black voters had to 3 fight against White Democrats and hostile 4 Republicans well into the 20th Century. 5 Uh-huh. Α. But your report, again, it ends 7 Ο. early 20th Century; correct? 8 Α. Yeah. 9 I'm sorry. What was that? 10 Ο. Yes. I end mine --Α. 11 Ο. Yeah. 12 -- with the fight with the Lily Α. 13 Whites and the Black-and-Tans. 14 And what year was that, roughly? 15 Q. I don't know if I could put an 16 Α. exact year that it definitively end. I 17 know that in the time period I'm dealing 18 with in my report, it sort of reaches a 19 climax with the administration of 20 Theodore Roosevelt, when President 21 Roosevelt came down on the side of the 22 Black-and-Tans and against the Lily 23

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-373-3660 800.808.4958

Page 66 Whites. 1 Do I mention President 2 Roosevelt? 3 O. Page 20. 4 Okay. Yeah. That's what I 5 Α. thought. 6 So, what did President Roosevelt 7 do? How did he respond to the 8 infighting? He fired the Lily White chairman 10 Α. of the party. His name was William 11 Vaughan. And it's in footnote 38. 12 That's where I mention Vaughan --13 14 Q. Okay. -- is who he goes after. 15 Ο. You know, you say that 16 Republicans sought the African-American 17 vote, and the Democrats took it. It's on 18 page 10 and 11, the last sentence. 19 Α. Oh. 20 Ο. It runs over. 21 And so not all Republicans were, 22 you know, resistant to --23

Page 67 1 Α. No. -- Democrats joining them? 0. 2 Absolutely not. 3 Α. Okay. And you say that there 0. 4 was a significant faction or a sizable 5 faction of Republicans who opposed the interracial alliance? 7 Uh-huh. Α. 8 How large do you think that Ο. 9 faction was? Was it less than the 10 majority? 11 It's massive. It's national in 12 You had -- here, I refer to 13 Bromberg, and I describe him as a liberal 14 Republican. And that would be reflected 15 more in, say, the immediate aftermath of 16 the Civil War. You know, the Republican 17 Party was not really -- was barely a 18 decade old. No. It was six years old 19 when Lincoln was elected. And they go 20 immediately into wartime. And during the 21 war, the Lincoln administration, you have 22 the primary fight is between -- well, you 23

have different factions within the 1 Republican Party, one which is committed 2 to an abolitionist program, making 3 abolition the centerpiece of how they 4 prosecuted the war, and then you would 5 have -- you had members of so many other 6 political factions and parties that had 7 been lumped into this Republican Party. 8 And so that's -- but that's the main fight through the war is there's this 10 faction that are called radicals. Thev 11 never called themselves radicals, but 12 they are called radicals by all of the 13 people they defeated. 14

And after the war, the party -these factions sort of become a little
more well delineated, and you have again,
for example, you would have regular
Republicans and you would have liberal
Republicans. And Bromberg would be one
of those. Liberal Republicans, in term,
give rise to -- at the national level,
you have -- I mean, you have massive

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 69 fights over decades between one group of 1 Republicans that called themselves 2 stalwarts and you have one group that 3 calls themselves half-breeds. And that 4 fight, stalwart-half-breed fight, you 5 know, that continues on through the 19th 6 Century. The half-breeds more or less 7 are the successors to the liberal 8 Republican Party, and they deeply resent 9 the stalwart Republicans' commitment. 10 It's not just their commitment to 11 African-American political and social 12 rights; it's that they make it a priority 13 of the party; whereas half-breeds want to 14 focus on commercial questions, you know, 15 the tariff, monetary disputes, that sort 16 of thing. And so that faction, at the 17 national level, will continue. 18 Meanwhile, you know, in the Southern 19 states, at the state level, you have 20 fights between Lily Whites and 21 Black-and-Tans, for example. So the 22 party is riven by these competing 23

Page 70 factions, and African-American voters are 1 literally caught in the middle of it. 2 Because of how the Republican 3 Party apportioned delegates to the 4 national convention, Southern 5 Republicans -- Southern Republicans pick 6 the president, basically, so. 7 So on in your report, you also 8 say that Democrats tried to disenfranchise Whites who displayed a 10 willingness to form the interracial 11 political coalitions. 12 Uh-huh. Α. 13 In your report, do you explain 14 0. how the Democrats tried to do that? 15 How the -- the mechanics of it? 16 Α. Ο. Yes. 17 Well, the mechanics of it are --18 you know, in terms of like the 19 instructions that are written on the 20 page, they can -- a voting registrar who 21 wishes to do can -- in Alabama, beginning 22 in 1902, they can effectively 23

disfranchise anybody they want to. 1 by -- literally, for -- they can declare 2 that you are not a person of good 3 character. They can declare that you are 4 a person who lacks the ability to read 5 and write. They can declare that you 6 lack proper understanding of the United 7 They don't have to States Constitution. 8 do that in most cases because someone who's illiterate is -- probably is going 10 to be afraid to come before the Board of 11 Registrars. You also can rely upon 12 things like poll taxes. 13

- Q. So, how did Democrats go about targeting the White voters who were willing to form the interracial political coalitions?
- A. The main way that you were going to defuse the threat of an interracial coalition -- and again, the threat of the interracial coalition is not that you have some interracial group operating on the margins somewhere. The threat is

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 72

that a disaffected -- a disaffected minority of the White majority can combine with the minority population.

And the way that you get -- the way that you get rid of -- the way that they got rid of the threat of a breakaway White faction was by targeting African-American voters.

- Q. But you do say on page 11 of your report, the last sentence, that Democrats were trying to disenfranchise the Whites who were willing to form the interracial coalitions; right?
- A. Oh, they intended to disfranchise all of their opponents, yeah.
- Q. So I guess my -- my question was, how do they target those White voters they wanted to disenfranchise?
- A. You would target them at the point of registration. And if you couldn't get them at the point of registration -- and what I mean by that

Page 73

is when they would appear -- in the early 1 operation of the 1901 Constitution, the 2 registrars -- it wasn't a ministerial 3 office as it would have been for several 4 decade -- up until the -- it becomes sort 5 of a more active office again later on. But under the 1901 Constitution, for the 7 in initial voter registration, the 8 registrars -- first of all, every man in the state loses the right to vote. 10 once the constitution is ratified, 11 essentially all voter prior registrations 12 are null, so it all has to be redone in 13 the spring of 1902. And so to get to do 14 it, to get yourself registered to vote, 15 you would have to show up in person 16 before your county's board of registrars. 17 Enormous time and concern and care goes 18 into the appointment of those registrars 19 because they were all-powerful. They 20 could -- and there was essentially no way 21 to check anything that they did. So the 22 governor and two other statewide 23

Page 74 officials, they were put in charge of 1 selecting those county registrars. And 2 so in all of the 66 counties -- and there 3 were only 66 counties then -- they 4 appointed three elections registrars. 5 And so you would appear before the 6 registrar. And so if you go into the 7 registrars' office, if you show up -- and 8 that's one of the key phrases here -- you potentially will be asked to testify out 10 loud in public whether or not you could 11 read and write. Can you read and write? 12 Do you own X dollars of property? 13 There is a loophole that was in 14 the constitution, an infamous loophole. 15 In Alabama, it was called the Descendants 16

the constitution, an infamous loophole.

In Alabama, it was called the Descendants
Clause. And the loophole would get you
around the literacy test, could
theoretically get you around the literacy
test, and say things like the Character
Clause and the Understanding Clause and
the poll -- not the poll tax, but it
could get you around the, say, property

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 75

qualifications, that sort of thing. But to exercise it, you had to admit that you were poor, that you were illiterate, and so on. So shame probably kept thousands of men from -- they would have just -- they would have just been ashamed.

We know from the documentary record -- I know -- that the registrars are constantly in public begging White men to show up to register to vote, and they always will ensure no White man need fear, no White man will be disfranchised, no White man will be turned away. We know, though, that a lot of White men just simply did not show up. I forget a percentage of how many White voters they were able to strike from the rolls. I know they were able to strike 98 percent of Black voters. It's a far smaller number of White voters.

- Q. But if you had to estimate, what do you think it would be?
 - A. Fifteen percent.

Page 76 Okay. 1 Q. It was very low. 2 Α. So these -- the registrars, you 3 Q. said that, you know, a registrar could 4 just, you know, determine that someone 5 had a poor moral character or --6 Yeah. 7 -- something similar to that. 0. 8 Tell me a little bit about how they exercised their authority, like -- and 10 how broad their authority was. 11 You mean at the point of 12 registration? 13 1.4 Q. Yes, yes. So they did not preserve --Α. 15 beyond names on a roll, they don't 16 preserve records of their work, so there 17 isn't a manuscript record of all of the 18 rejected applications next to all of the 19 successful applications. The only 20 specific rejected applications that we 21 can tell you about are the ones who 22 publicized the fact that they had been 23

Page 77 disfranchised, and these were 1 typically -- typically, these were 2 African-Americans, and they were behind 3 those early voting rights cases that we 4 spoke of at the beginning. That is --5 that's what we would know about their 6 work. 7 We have -- I know there was at 8 least one of Alabama's registrars -- he 9 was a newspaper editor. And as they went 10 along through their work, he would --11 every week, you know, he would give quick 12 little updates. What you'll find him --13 Shropshire was his last name, I know. 14 was in Cherokee County. And he, in his 15 newspaper, would give accounts. It's 16 interesting that -- I told you before, 17 the registrars are always complaining 18 that too few White men are attempting to 19 register to vote, or in many counties 20 they're complaining of low participation 21 among White voters. They'll complain 22 about low participation from White 23

Page 78 voters, and they will specifically brag 1 of how few African-Americans they 2 registered, or they'll boast --3 Shropshire will boast or some other 4 unnamed registrar will boast, "We have 5 registered none." You know, that's 6 always their main boast. So you don't --7 I don't -- you don't find records of them 8 boasting about turning away White men. You'll find records of them boasting 10 about turning away African-Americans. 11 So -- and you said the 12 registrars have -- you know, they were 13 basically all-powerful and their 14 15 authority was unchecked. For a brief period. 16 Α. A brief period. Okay. So, were 17 Ο. 18 they just kind of exercising arbitrary authority to not register whoever they 19 didn't want to register? 20 They were given the power to 21 Α. judge an individual voter's fitness for 22 office. There was --23

Page 79 Fitness for office? 1 Ο. Fitness -- I'm sorry. Α. 2 Fitness --3 0. Yeah. Okay. 4 Fitness for -- sorry. Fitness Α. 5 for voting. 6 7 Ο. Okay. And that could -- they had the 8 Α. -- they had the discretion, right, so the 9 state doesn't give them, "This is the 10 understanding test to give them." They 11 had the discretion to draft a test to 12 determine whether or not someone 13 understood the duties of citizenship 14 under the United States Constitution. 15 They had the ability to make the 16 determination themselves whether someone 17 was a man known in his community of good 18 character. They could demand a voter 19 come back -- a prospective voter come 20 back with character affidavits, for 21 22 example. You could -- you could 23

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 80 theoretically appeal their decisions. 1 You would have to go through -- there was 2 this little -- there was this really, 3 really, really brief window you could 4 appeal their decisions in the state 5 circuit court. Only one such appeal was 6 ever brought. 7 And I might have misunderstood 8 Q. you, but I think you said that for a brief period, the registrars were 10 unchecked. 11 (Witness nods head.) 12 So, when did they quit being 13 14 unchecked? Immediately after. So this was Α. 15 only for the calendar year 1902. 16 17 Ο. Okay. Α. Yeah. 18 And what happened in 1902 that 19 Q. resolved that? 20 The legislative -- the 21 Α. legislature could adopt restrictions. 22 The main thing that -- the other main 23

Page 81 thing about that initial voter 1 registration is that if you were 2 registered to vote in 1902, you were 3 presented with something called a 4 lifetime certificate. And the state had 5 these printed. They looked like giant 6 money. They were a long, narrow thing, 7 and it would be this like -- I own a 8 couple now, and I think they say lifetime 9 certificate of voting. And so you would 10 remain on the voting rolls for life 11 barring, you know, disqualification, you 12 know, on account of criminal conviction 13 or something later on. You still had to 14 pay your poll taxes, and poll taxes are 15 going to knock out -- as the century --16 as the 20th Century unfolds, we know --17 in retrospect, we know the poll taxes 18 just laid waste to the Southern 19 electorate. But after 1902, the 20 registrars lost most of the discretion 21 that they had been given under the 1901 22 Constitution, and their office becomes 23

Page 82 more of a ministerial -- it becomes more 1 of a ministerial procedure than one of 2 where they get to exercise judgment. 3 And did you say the registrars, 4 0. you know, came into power really, or had 5 this arbitrary unchecked power in roughly 6 1901 because everyone had to re-register 7 to vote then, or was it earlier? 8 They're appointed in late 1901, Α. early 1902. Registration opens in March. 10 There were several prescribed 11 registration periods through the year 12 13 1902. 14 Q. Okay. MR. MAULDIN: All right. Do 15 y'all want to take about a five-minute 16 break? 17 18 THE WITNESS: We can. (Break taken.) 19 (By Mr. Mauldin) So in the 20 0. South, during the disenfranchisement era, 21 did Republicans seek, you know, 22 African-Americans' votes by promising to 23

Page 83 actually count their votes and to listen 1 to them in the political process? 2 A. Can you repeat that? 3 So during, you know, the 4 Ο. disenfranchisement era, you know, we 5 talked about how some Republicans were 6 seeking out the African-American vote. 7 Was, you know, part of their appeal, you 8 know, to stop, you know, the fraud, 9 essentially, you know, preventing them 10 from registering to vote or not counting 11 their votes? Anything of that sort? 12 You know, they didn't have --13 MR. BLACKSHER: I'm sorry. Did 14 you say the disenfranchisement era? 15 MR. MAULDIN: Yes. 16 MR. BLACKSHER: Okay. 17 Well, and so I -- as I have 18 framed it earlier, my work is, you know, 19 focused on the 1880s forward. 20 Yeah. So like 1880 to roughly 21 0. 1910, the same period as in your report. 22 They don't have to. And the Α. 23

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-373-3660 800.808.4958

Page 84 reason I say they don't have to is 1 because through the -- through the 1880s, 2 you have sort of a -- you have sort of a 3 settlement, we'll just say, within the 4 state of Alabama in the political system. 5 Everything just sort of -- in terms of 6 whether African-Americans will vote or 7 not, you have -- everything just sort of 8 settles into a -- for about a decade or so into a static sense. And so you would 10 have still had -- you would have had --11 you'd have widespread participation of 12 African-Americans in the political 13 process, primarily in Republican Party 14 activity. You would have had the -- the 15 top offices, such as it were, would have 16 been -- within the party would have been 17 occupied by White members of the party, 18 but you had -- at least at the level of, 19 say, populating their conventions with 20 21 delegates, it would be an integrated affair. 22 The reason I said they didn't 23

Page 85

have to fight is because you aren't 1 seeing through -- after the immediate 2 Reconstruction period, you don't see --3 through the 1880s, you just don't see --4 you just don't -- the elections are not 5 that closely contested at the state 6 level. And so as long as -- as long as 7 the Democrats win and know that they're 8 9 going to win, they're not really going to care that you have this minority faction 10 that contains, you know, any degree of 11 interracial cooperation. When I say they 12 don't care, it's not that they're going 13 to approve of it; it's that they're not 14 going to feel the need to go out of their 15 way to destroy it. 16 In this same time period, did 17 Alabama Democrats try to appeal to White 18 voters by promising that they would not 19 let African-Americans vote? 20

Veritext Legal Solutions

In the 1890s, yes. Once Whites

So, does your report offer an

began to divide on political questions.

800.808.4958

Α.

Ο.

21

22

23

Page 86 opinion on anything after the Lily White 1 dispute? 2 3 Α. No. So it doesn't offer any opinion Ο. 4 on the maps at issue in this case? 5 Α. No. No. 6 And it doesn't offer any opinion 7 0. on current voting patterns? 8 I do not venture that, no. Α. 9 And your opinion also doesn't --0. 10 your report also doesn't offer any 11 opinions on, you know, whether Alabama is 12 currently attempting to impede 13 interracial coalitions? 14 I've not spoken to any No. 15 Α. present-day condition. 16 And so that includes your report 17 does not opine on the legislature's 18 intent in drawing any of the maps? 19 20 Α. No. No. MR. MAULDIN: All right. Well, 21 that's all I have. I'm not sure if Ms. 22 Lancaster has anything else. 23

Veritext Legal Solutions 800.808.4958

877-373-3660

```
Page 87
              THE COURT REPORTER: Attorneys
1
     on Zoom, does anyone have any questions?
2
              MS. LANCASTER: No questions for
3
4
     me.
 5
                  END OF DEPOSITION
 6
                      (11:25 a.m.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-373-3660 800.808.4958

		Page 88
1	CERTIFICATE	
2	STATE OF ALABAMA)	
3	COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)	
4	I hereby certify that the above	
5	and foregoing proceeding was taken down	
6	by me by stenographic means, and that the	
7	content herein was produced in transcript	
8	form by computer aid under my	
9	supervision, and that the foregoing	
10	represents, to the best of my ability, a	
11	true and correct transcript of the	
12	proceedings occurring on said date at	
13	said time.	
14	I further certify that I am	
15	neither of counsel nor of kin to the	
16	parties to the action; nor am I in	
17	anywise interested in the result of said	
18	case.	
19	Buric Butter	
20	LANE C. BUTLER, RPR, CRR, CCR	
21	CCR# 418 Expires 9/30/24	
22	Commissioner, State of Alabama	
23	My Commission Expires: 2/11/25	

[& - abolitionists]

Page 89

&	1890 30:3 61:21	60:5,6,18 69:6	4
& 6:17	1890s 47:9	2	418 88:21
0	58:12 59:3	2 7:13 14:18,20	5
01530 1:14	85:21 1892 52:18,22	19:17 20:4 21:1 21:3 27:18	5 24:20
01536 2:3	62:5	31:11	50 44:12
1	1894 62:5,6	2/11/25 88:23	501 6:7
1 7:12 14:13,15	19 13:23 14:1	20 20:6 66:4	6
19:17 20:4 21:1	29:13 47:9	2001 3:9 8:8	6 40:5,11
24:20 25:6	1900 61:14	2005 16:17	66 74:3,4
10 7:4 64:16	1901 6:18 16:7	2024 2:15 3:11	7
66:19	16:22 17:15	4:12 8:11	7 39:5
1000 3:9 8:9	18:17 49:10,22	20th 19:5 22:9	8
106 13:13	63:16 73:2,7	28:7,11 32:19	825 5:7
10:00 3:12 8:10	81:22 82:7,9 1902 49:10	33:6,18 37:13	
11 55:3 66:19	70:23 73:14	38:7 39:10	9
72:9	80:16,19 81:3	42:18 44:18	9/30/24 88:21
11:25 87:7	81:20 82:10,13	48:22 65:5,8	98 75:18
1291 1:5	1910 83:22	81:17	98101 5:18
14 7:12,13 15 2:15 13:16	1910s 32:16	21 39:17	a
15 2.13 13.10 1500 6:18	1919 23:12	2100 5:17	a.m. 3:12 8:10
15th 3:10 4:11	1920s 23:19	25 27:16 35:1,2 2:21 1:5,14 2:3	87:7
8:11	47:10		abha 5:14
1700 5:16	1930s 23:20,22	3	ability 71:5
18 33:5 52:22	1965 21:8	3 39:4 40:5,14	79:16 88:10
18362 88:19	1970s 29:4	30s 47:10	able 49:1 75:17
1868 21:1,2	1995 15:14	32 34:22	75:18
38:18	1998 15:18	35203 6:19	abolition 47:15
1877 23:11	19th 32:18 33:5	35222 5:8	68:4
1880 83:21	33:18 37:13	36104 6:8	abolitionist 47:1
1880s 28:6	39:9 41:17	37 39:17 38 66:12	68:3 abolitionists
29:13 32:15	42:18 43:9	30 00.12	47:17
46:16 59:11	45:13 48:21,21		7/.1/
83:20 84:2 85:4	55:14 58:18		

Veritext Legal Solutions

[above - appears]

Page 90

ffidavits 79:21 fraid 71:11 frican 21:18	15:23 16:20 19:3,10 22:3	83:7 americans 41:3
	· '	americans 41.5
irican 21:18	00.12 25.17	42:23 47:19
20 1 4 41 2 6 0	28:13 35:17	
22:1,4 41:3,6,9	49:9,21 50:14	48:11 50:5
42:23 45:11,23	50:20 52:14	52:11 60:20
		63:1 64:12 77:3
		78:2,11 82:23
		84:7,13 85:20
•		amm 1:5,14 2:3
		amounts 64:14
63:1 64:12	,	anachronistic
66:17 69:12		56:19
70:1 72:7 77:3	55:4 58:12 77:9	analysis 18:14
78:2,11 82:23	alabamaag.gov	annually 20:19
83:7 84:7,13	6:9,10	answer 10:14
85:20	alabamian	11:6,21 12:10
ftermath 67:16	44:10	12:12,14,20
ge 22:11,16,19	allen 1:10,19	34:3 60:19
22:20 23:5,14	2:8	answers 10:22
23:17	alliance 67:7	10:23
ging 15:8	alliances 52:2	antebellum
go 35:11	59:14 60:14,16	20:19 55:19
gree 45:20	61:4	anti 18:20,21
greed 3:2,14	allies 41:10	47:1
3:22	alluded 52:6	antiquarian
head 13:19	amendment	36:15
14:12 28:17	49:12	anybody 71:1
id 88:8	american 21:18	anywise 88:17
khana 5:19	22:1,4 24:3	appeal 60:2
1 1:7,11,16,20	26:13 41:6,9	80:1,5,6 83:8
2:5,9	45:11,23 49:5	85:18
labama 1:2,11	49:18 50:1,17	appear 26:8
1:20 2:9 3:10	51:1 60:2,10	28:13 73:1 74:6
5:8 6:8,19 8:3,9	62:17 66:17	appears 61:23
10:4 13:11	69:12 70:1 72:7	
	47:19 48:11 49:5,18 50:1,5 50:17 51:1 52:11 60:2,10 60:20 62:17 63:1 64:12 66:17 69:12 70:1 72:7 77:3 78:2,11 82:23 83:7 84:7,13 85:20 ftermath 67:16 ge 22:11,16,19 22:20 23:5,14 23:17 ging 15:8 go 35:11 gree 45:20 greed 3:2,14 3:22 head 13:19 14:12 28:17 id 88:8 khana 5:19 l 1:7,11,16,20 2:5,9 labama 1:2,11 1:20 2:9 3:10 5:8 6:8,19 8:3,9	47:19 48:11 49:5,18 50:1,5 50:17 51:1 52:11 60:2,10 60:20 62:17 63:1 64:12 66:17 69:12 70:1 72:7 77:3 78:2,11 82:23 83:7 84:7,13 85:20 ftermath 67:16 ge 22:11,16,19 22:20 23:5,14 23:17 ging 15:8 go 35:11 gree 45:20 greed 3:2,14 3:22 head 13:19 14:12 28:17 id 88:8 khana 5:19 1 1:7,11,16,20 2:5,9 labama 1:2,11 1:20 2:9 3:10 5:8 6:8,19 8:3,9

Veritext Legal Solutions

[applications - blind]

Page 91

applications	asking 10:13	back 48:17	begun 63:17
76:19,20,21	assign 4:4	55:20,21 56:16	believe 46:5
appoint 54:4	assigned 21:7	79:20,21	50:3 55:3
appointed 74:5	21:13	backwards	belt 50:20
82:9	assistant 44:11	35:20	benefit 44:23
appointment	associated 32:9	bad 47:15,21	best 36:18,18
73:19	attached 14:16	badly 25:19	52:12 55:22
apportioned	14:21	balch 6:17	88:10
70:4	attempt 36:12	balch.com 6:20	beyond 23:21
approach 32:4	attempting	6:21	37:1,8 57:9
32:23	17:12 55:9 64:7	ballot 53:7,9,11	76:16
approve 85:14	77:19 86:13	53:14,15,15,18	bill 52:14 53:1
approximately	attorney 5:6	54:1,20 62:16	billed 34:22
3:11 8:10	10:4 34:5,6	ballots 50:11,12	bimetallic 58:2
arbitrary 78:18	attorneys 8:23	51:9 53:5,12,13	bingham 6:17
82:6	87:1	54:9,12	birmingham
archibald 46:11	attracts 47:5	bank 64:15	3:10 5:8 6:19
archives 33:14	audible 10:23	banks 64:15	8:9 61:17
area 24:12,13	august 2:15	barely 67:18	birth 59:15
27:15 28:9	3:11 4:12 8:11	barring 81:12	bit 15:7 22:14
50:15 61:17	australia 53:17	based 43:10	23:15 44:3 76:9
areas 50:22	australian 53:6	59:9	black 38:6
51:13 52:3	53:9,11,13,15	basic 47:14	42:17 45:15
arguably 47:3	53:15	basically 70:7	48:20 50:20
argue 47:21	author 32:1,2	78:14	54:18 65:3,14
arguing 17:18	authored 34:13	basis 29:20	65:23 69:22
46:23	authority 76:10	began 46:16	75:19
article 32:2,3	76:11 78:15,19	85:22	blacksher 5:5
articles 28:12	avenue 5:16 6:7	begging 75:9	9:2,7,14,16,20
46:19	6:18	beginning 19:5	11:12,17 15:6
ashamed 75:6	b	30:5 38:17	26:22 34:1,3
aside 30:18	b 7:9	59:10 70:22	64:17 83:14,17
asked 9:6 37:8	bachelor 15:2	77:5	blind 31:17 32:1
74:10	bachelor's	begins 30:2	32:5 53:22
	15:10	38:14 46:16,17	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[board - chief] Page 92

board 71:11	45:4,8,10,21	care 73:18	42:18 43:9
73:17	67:14 68:20	85:10,13	44:19 48:21,22
boast 78:3,4,5,7	bromberg's	career 42:15	55:14 58:18
boasting 78:9	38:16	43:18	60:6,6,18 65:5,8
78:10	brook 56:1	carnival 47:18	69:7 81:16,17
bobby 1:7	brought 80:7	carolina 49:14	certainly 58:4
book 32:4,10	built 16:13	50:21	62:20,23
books 46:19	burned 35:10	carry 22:22	certificate 81:5
bourbon 56:5,7	butler 3:6 4:9	23:1	81:10
57:10	8:1 88:20	case 30:10,11,18	certify 8:4 88:4
bourbons 63:7	c	30:22 31:4,9	88:14
bowie 42:1,4	c 3:6 4:8 5:1 6:1	32:6 34:14	chairman 66:10
box 62:16	8:1 54:6 55:2	43:15 54:3,5	challenges
boys 64:5	88:1,1,20	55:18 59:3 60:5	18:16
brag 78:1	calendar 80:16	86:5 88:18	champion 47:22
breadth 18:13	call 18:6,20	cases 18:21 20:1	change 9:10
break 12:18,21	47:22 57:4	49:11 52:3 71:9	55:8 57:16
64:21,22 82:17	called 31:13	77:4	58:10
82:19	59:16,17,18,19	cast 40:7 41:16	character 43:3
breakaway 56:9	59:20 62:11	45:13 61:19	71:4 74:20 76:6
61:1 72:6	68:11,12,13	63:1	79:19,21
breaks 12:17	69:2 74:16 81:4	caster 2:5	charge 74:1
51:21	calls 69:4	casual 64:8	charles 6:6
breed 69:5		casually 40:7	44:12,13
breeds 69:4,7,14	cambridge 44:11	caught 70:2	charles.mckay
brief 10:9 78:16		cause 8:13	6:10
78:17 80:4,10	campus 21:21 23:23	ccr 88:20,21	charybdis 17:5
bring 64:23	candidate 62:8	census 27:1	cheated 50:12
british 53:16		centerpiece 68:4	check 18:10
broad 43:10	candidates	centuries 32:19	73:22
76:11	54:13 61:20	33:18	checked 34:21
broadly 46:18	candidly 64:9	century 22:9	cherokee 77:15
bromberg 42:1	capacity 1:10,19	28:7,12 33:5,6	chief 28:15,23
42:4,13,20 43:2	2:8	37:13,14 38:8	29:3
44:3,7,15,16,17	capitol 62:12	39:10,10 42:18	

Veritext Legal Solutions 800.808.4958

[choosing - convention]

Page 93

choosing 40:18	colony 53:17	concentration	71:8 73:2,7,11
chose 53:21	columbia 46:15	16:2,4	74:15 79:15
circa 23:10	combine 72:3	concern 73:18	81:23
circles 43:22	come 21:5 36:7	concerned 39:9	constitutional
circuit 80:6	54:14,16 57:17	58:23	16:7,22 17:7,8
cited 30:21	71:11 79:20,20	concerns 60:12	19:20 20:3,10
citizenship	comes 22:17	concluded 36:5	20:12 27:22
29:20 79:14	27:16 35:8 43:4	49:11	constitutionali
civil 1:5,14 2:3	57:18 59:13	conclusion	17:10
4:8 8:5 25:2	commencing	39:16	constitutions
44:9 46:20	3:11 8:10	condition 86:16	29:21 49:20
47:11,13 55:15	commercial	confess 63:21,23	contained 37:6
67:17	58:9 69:15	confessing	contains 85:11
clarify 37:3	commission	63:22	content 88:7
class 19:22	88:23	congress 61:15	contest 52:4
20:12,21	commissioner	congressman	contested 61:23
clause 74:17,21	88:22	61:16	85:6
74:21	commitment	congressmen	context 17:9
clear 12:12	69:10,11	61:14	51:15 55:13
45:14	committed 68:2	conjecture 36:8	56:3
client 34:5	common 32:17	conservative	continue 69:18
climax 59:9	63:15	54:6 55:3,5,6	continued 2:1
65:20	commonly 41:4	56:11 57:5,8	6:1 21:10
cling 55:9	community	58:5 60:7,18	continues 69:6
clinging 55:14	79:18	conservatives	continuing
close 52:4 64:15	competing	56:7 57:4 62:1	47:10
closely 85:6	43:13 69:23	conserve 58:14	contours 42:21
coalition 38:11	complain 41:11	consider 29:12	control 49:3
63:3 71:20,21	77:22	55:22	58:22 59:2 62:2
coalitions 39:2	complaining	constantly 75:9	62:3
43:11 60:4	64:4 77:18,21	constellation	convention 16:8
70:12 71:17	complete 12:23	47:4	16:10,22,23
72:13 86:14	compliance 3:18	constitution	17:7 18:19
colleagues 24:9	computer 88:8	17:9,15,16	61:22,23 63:16
		18:17 49:9,22	70:5

Veritext Legal Solutions

[conventions - deposed]

Page 94

84:20 21:11,20 22:7 curriculum 7:12 defendants 1:12 conversation 10:17 22:11,15 23:4,6 1:5,14 2:3 7:11 conveiction 23:13,17,18,19 cv 1:5,14 2:3 7:11 81:13 cooperate 52:2 courses 19:19 25:13,17,18 definitely 62:5 cooperation 45:18 85:12 20:7,18,22 definitively 65:17 coperation 20:7,18,22 definitively 65:17 coperation 20:7,18,22 definitively 65:17 coperation 20:7,18,22 definitively 65:17 coperation 45:18 85:12 definitively 65:17 coperation 20:7,18,22 definitively 65:17 coperation 20:7,18,22 definitively 65:17 coperation 20:13,22 definitively 65:17 coperation 20:13,22 definitively 65:17 coperation 20:13,22 definitively 65:17 coperation 21:1 definitively 65:17 <t< th=""><th>conventions</th><th>course 19:18</th><th>currently 86:13</th><th>defeated 68:14</th></t<>	conventions	course 19:18	currently 86:13	defeated 68:14
10:17	84:20	21:11,20 22:7	curriculum 7:12	defendants 1:12
conviction 24:2,4,17 26:10 14:3,13 24:20 definitely 62:5 81:13 27:13 25:13,17,18 definitively cooperation 20:7,18,22 d definitively 45:18 85:12 21:17 24:10,21 d defraud 41:13 coperation 20:7,18,22 d defraud 41:13 coperation 20:7,18,22 d defraud 41:13 defraud 41:13 defuse 71:19 degree 85:11 coperation 20:7,18,22 d d 7:1 defuse 71:19 degree 85:11 degree 85:11 degree 15:3,11 degrees 15:3,11 delineated 68:17 delineated 68:17 delineated 68:17 delineated <td>conversation</td> <td>22:11,15 23:4,6</td> <td>cute 61:7</td> <td>1:21 2:10 6:3,13</td>	conversation	22:11,15 23:4,6	cute 61:7	1:21 2:10 6:3,13
81:13 cooperate 52:2 cooperation 45:18 85:12 copies 14:10 25:5,6 26:2,5 copy 14:2 correct 12:1 16:1,10 17:13 22:13 27:12 34:14,15 37:15 42:19 44:20 45:6 65:8 88:11 correctly 54:10 correspondence 35:22 counts 35:19 counts 35:22 counts 3:4,23 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 12:10 34:11 88:15 count 51:6,19 83:1 count 51:6,19 83:1 count 51:6,19 83:1 count 62:22 83:11 county 74:2 county's 73:17 county 81:0 county	10:17	23:13,17,18,19	ev 1:5,14 2:3	7:11
cooperate 52:2 cooperation 20:7,18,22 defraud 41:13 defraud 41:13 defuse 71:19 degree 85:17 defuse 71:19 degree 85:17 defuse 71:19 degree 85:17 defuse 71:19 degree 71:17 70:4 defuse 71:17 70:4 degree	conviction	24:2,4,17 26:10	14:3,13 24:20	definitely 62:5
cooperation 20:7,18,22 d defraud 41:13 45:18 85:12 21:17 24:10,21 d d 7:1 defuse 71:19 copies 14:10 25:5,6 26:2,5 dabbled 29:15 degree 85:11 copy 14:2 27:2 coursework 25:18,19,21 degree 85:11 16:1,10 17:13 24:8 court 1:1 3:6,19 8:12 date 84:23:9 17:17 70:4 34:14,15 37:15 4:14,15 8:1,22 9:9 10:21 30:12 88:12 dates 23:8 day 3:10 4:11 8:11 36:6 86:16 dead 64:13 dead 68:17 delineated 68:17 55:17 58:20,22 61:22 63:19 decade 24:18 28:	81:13	27:13	25:13,17,18	definitively
45:18 85:12	cooperate 52:2	courses 19:19	27:8 28:16	65:17
copies 14:10 25:5,6 26:2,5 dabbled 29:15 degree 85:11 copy 14:2 27:2 dabbled 29:15 degree 85:11 degree 12:3,11 degree 15:3,11 delegates 17:70:4 84:21 desin desin 42:1 desin 42:1 43:11 desin 35:12 deal 35:12 deal 35:14 desin 35:12 democrat 43:13 40:7,23 42:16 </td <td>cooperation</td> <td>20:7,18,22</td> <td>d</td> <td>defraud 41:13</td>	cooperation	20:7,18,22	d	defraud 41:13
copies 14:10 25:5,6 26:2,5 dabbled 29:15 degree 85:11 correct 12:1 coursework 24:8 date 8:4 23:9 degree 15:3,11 degrees 15:3,11 delegates 17:7:17:17:70:4 84:21 delineated 68:17 18:4 40:7,23 42:16 debate 40:7,23 42:16 <td>45:18 85:12</td> <td>21:17 24:10,21</td> <td>d 7·1</td> <td>defuse 71:19</td>	45:18 85:12	21:17 24:10,21	d 7·1	defuse 71:19
copy 14:2 27:2 date 8:4 23:9 degrees 15:3,11 correct 12:1 coursework 24:8 court 1:1 3:6,19 dates 23:8 delegates 17:7 17:17 70:4 84:21 delegates 17:0 17:17 70:4 84:21 delegates 17:0 17:17 70:4 84:21 delegates 17:17 70:4 84:21 delegates 17:0 44:21 44:21 44:21 44:21 44:21 44:21 44:21 44:21 44:21 44:21 44:21	copies 14:10	25:5,6 26:2,5		_
correct 12:1 coursework 24:8 25:18,19,21 delegates 17:7 70:4 84:21 77:17 70:4 84:21	copy 14:2	27:2		degrees 15:3,11
24:8 22:13 27:12 34:14,15 37:15 42:19 44:20 45:6 65:8 88:11 correctly 54:10 correspondence 35:22 counsel 3:4,23 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 12:10 34:11 88:15 count 51:6,19 83:1 count 51:6,19 83:1 count 51:6,19 83:1 counting 62:22 counting 62:22 83:11 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county 73:17 county 74:2 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 74:2 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 74:2 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 74:2 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 73:17 county 74:2 county 73:17 county 74:2 county 73:17 county 74:2 county 74:2 county 73:17 county 74:2 county 74:	correct 12:1	coursework		
22:13 27:12 34:14,15 37:15 42:19 44:20 45:6 65:8 88:11 correctly 54:10 correspondence 35:22 counsel 3:4,23 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 12:10 34:11 88:15 count 51:6,19 83:1 counties 50:18 74:3,4 77:20 counting 62:22 83:11 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county's 73:17 county's 73:17 county's 73:17 county 74:2 county 74:2 county's 73:17 county's 73:17 county 74:2 county's 73:17 county 74:2 county's 73:17 county 74:2 county's 73:17 county's 73:17 county 74:2 county's 73:17 county's 7	16:1,10 17:13	24:8		17:17 70:4
34:14,15 37:15 4:14,15 8:1,22 9:9 10:21 30:12 4:13 36:6 86:16 68:17 45:6 65:8 88:11 35:17 40:3 80:6 87:1 64:13 36:6 86:16 64:13 36:6 86:16 64:13 36:12 64:12<	22:13 27:12	court 1:1 3:6,19		84:21
42:19 44:20 45:6 65:8 88:11 correctly 54:10 correspondence 35:22 counsel 3:4,23 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 12:10 34:11 88:15 count 51:6,19 83:1 counties 50:18 74:3,4 77:20 counting 62:22 83:11 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county's 73:17 countle, \$1:0 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county's 73:17 countle, \$1:0 correctly 54:10 8:11 36:6 86:16 dead 64:13 deal 35:12 dealing 20:9 35:14 65:18 debate 40:16 debates 17:8,18 40:7,23 42:16 decade 24:18 28:6 67:19 73:5 84:9 decades 69:1 decide 27:6 decisions 35:17 80:1,5 declaration 31:6,8 declare 71:2,4,6 depends 19:23 depends 19:23 depends 10:6	34:14,15 37:15	4:14,15 8:1,22		delineated
45:6 65:8 88:11 correctly 54:10 correspondence 35:22 counsel 3:4,23 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 12:10 34:11 88:15 count 51:6,19 83:1 counties 50:18 74:3,4 77:20 counting 62:22 83:11 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county's 73:17 county 81:0 courted 35:17 40:3 80:6 87:1 dead 64:13 deal 35:12 dealing 20:9 35:14 65:18 debate 40:16 debates 17:8,18 40:7,23 42:16 debates 17:8,18 40:7,23 42:16 decade 24:18 28:6 67:19 73:5 84:9 democratic 43:8 51:18 54:7 55:17 58:20,22 61:22 63:19 democrats 45:14 57:5,11 57:22 58:1 60:7 60:9,19 65:4 66:18 67:2 70:9 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 deny 41:1 depend 19:23 depends 19:23 depends 19:23	42:19 44:20	9:9 10:21 30:12		68:17
correctly 54:10 87:1 deal 35:12 demand 79:19 correspondence 35:22 cover 19:22 35:14 65:18 democrat 58:4 counsel 3:4,23 21:20 22:6 23:4 debate 40:16 debate 40:16 debates 17:8,18 40:7,23 42:16 democrat 58:20 count 51:6,19 criminal 81:13 28:6 67:19 73:5 66:12 63:19 counties 50:18 crr 88:20 decades 69:1 45:14 57:5,11 counting 62:22 curb 41:1 decide 27:6 66:18 67:2 70:9 county 74:2 currency 57:16 57:20 declaration 31:6,8 depends 19:23 county's 73:17 current 18:23 declare 71:2,4,6 depends 19:23	45:6 65:8 88:11	35:17 40:3 80:6		_
correspondence courts 35:19 cover dealing 20:9 35:14 democrat democrat 58:4 democratic 43:8 democratic 43:1 democratic 45:14 57:5,11 democratic 45:14 57:5,11 democratic </td <td>correctly 54:10</td> <td>87:1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	correctly 54:10	87:1		
35:22 cover 19:22 35:14 65:18 democratic 43:8 counsel 3:4,23 21:20 22:6 23:4 debate 40:16 51:18 54:7 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 covered 39:14 debate 40:16 55:17 58:20,22 12:10 34:11 43:11 43:11 decade 24:18 61:22 63:19 28:6 67:19 73:5 28:6 67:19 73:5 45:14 57:5,11 57:22 58:1 60:7 33:11 culture 64:7 decades 69:1 60:9,19 65:4 40:7,23 42:16 60:9,19 65:4 60:9,19 65:4 46:18 67:2 70:9 60:9,19 65:4 60:18 67:2 70:9 70:15 71:14 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 6eclaration 31:6,8 6eclare 71:2,4,6 6epends 19:23 6epends 19:23 6epends 19:23	correspondence	courts 35:19		
counsel 3:4,23 21:20 22:6 23:4 debate 40:16 55:17 58:20,22 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 4:2 8:6 68:20 4:2 8:6 67:19 73:5 4:2 8:6 67:19 73:5 4:2 8:6 67:19 73:5 4:3 4 57:5,11 45:14 57:5,11 57:22 58:1 60:7 57:22 58:1 60:7 60:9,19 65:4 60:9,19 65:4 60:9,19 65:4 60:9,19 65:4 60:9,19 65:4 60:9,19 65:4 60:18 67:2 70:9 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18	35:22	cover 19:22	_	democratic 43:8
4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8 12:10 34:11 88:15 count 51:6,19 83:1 counties 50:18 74:3,4 77:20 counting 62:22 83:11 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county's 73:17 county's 73:17 county 8:20 county's 73:17 county 74:2 76:20 county's 73:17 county 8:20 county's 73:17 county 74:2 76:20 county's 73:17 county 74:2 76:20 county's 73:17 county 74:2 76:20 county's 73:17 coun	counsel 3:4,23	21:20 22:6 23:4		
12:10 34:11 88:15 count 51:6,19 83:1 counties 50:18 74:3,4 77:20 counting 62:22 83:11 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county's 73:17 county 88:20 county's 73:17 county 88:20 county 88:20 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county 88:3	4:2 8:6 12:2,5,8	covered 39:14		
88:15 count 51:6,19 83:1 counties 50:18 74:3,4 77:20 counting 62:22 83:11 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county's 73:17 county's 73:17 county 83:11 county 83:11 county 83:11 county 83:11 county 74:2 86:8 43:11 28:6 67:19 73:5 84:9 decade 24:18 28:6 67:19 73:5 84:9 decade 34:18 57:22 58:1 60:7 60:9,19 65:4 66:18 67:2 70:9 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 declaration 31:6,8 decade 71:2,4,6 democrats 45:14 57:5,11 57:22 58:1 60:7 60:9,19 65:4 66:18 67:2 70:9 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 decade 24:18 45:14 57:5,11 57:22 58:1 60:7 60:9,19 65:4 66:18 67:2 70:9 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 decade 24:18 45:14 57:5,11 57:22 58:1 60:7 60:9,19 65:4 66:18 67:2 70:9 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 decade 71:2,4,6	12:10 34:11	covering 32:14	,	61:22 63:19
count 51:6,19 criminal 81:13 28:6 67:19 73:5 45:14 57:5,11 83:1 crow 27:23 28:2 28:6 67:19 73:5 45:14 57:5,11 57:22 58:1 60:7 counties 50:18 crr 88:20 decades 69:1 60:9,19 65:4 66:18 67:2 70:9 counting 62:22 curb 41:1 42:11 85:8,18 60:1,5 60:15 70:15 71:14 county 74:2 currency 57:20 declaration 31:6,8 depend 19:23 county 81:0 86:8 86:8 declare 71:2,4,6 depend 19:23 depend 19:23 depend 19:23 depend 19:23 depend 19:23	88:15	43:11	·	
83:1 counties 50:18 74:3,4 77:20 counting 62:22 83:11 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county's 73:17 county 88:20 county 88:20 curb 41:1 curiosity 44:4 currency 57:16 57:20 county's 73:17 current 18:23 county 84:9 decades 69:1 decide 27:6 decisions 35:17 80:1,5 declaration 31:6,8 declare 71:2,4,6 depend 19:23 depends 19:23 depends 19:23	count 51:6,19	criminal 81:13		,
counties 50:18 crr 88:20 decades 69:1 60:9,19 65:4 74:3,4 77:20 culture 64:7 66:18 67:2 70:9 counting 62:22 curb 41:1 42:11 70:15 71:14 county 74:2 currency 57:16 60:9,19 65:4 66:18 67:2 70:9 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 72:11 85:8,18 72:11 85:8,18 declaration 31:6,8 31:6,8 31:6,8 60:9,19 65:4 66:18 67:2 70:9 70:15 71:14 72:11 85:8,18 <t< td=""><td>83:1</td><td>crow 27:23 28:2</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	83:1	crow 27:23 28:2		
74:3,4 77:20 counting 62:22 83:11 county 74:2 77:15 88:3 county's 73:17 county 81:0 county 81:0 county 64:7 curb 41:1 curiosity 44:4 currency 57:16 57:20 county's 73:17 current 18:23 county 81:0 county 81:0 county 64:7 decide 27:6 decisions 35:17 80:1,5 declaration 31:6,8 declare 71:2,4,6 depend 19:23 depends 19:23 depends 10:6	counties 50:18	crr 88:20		· ·
counting 62:22 curb 41:1 decisions 35:17 83:11 curiosity 44:4 80:1,5 declaration 72:11 85:8,18 county 74:2 57:20 declaration 31:6,8 depend 19:23 county 81:0 86:8 declare 71:2,4,6 depends 19:23	74:3,4 77:20	culture 64:7		
83:11 curiosity 44:4 80:1,5 declaration 77:15 88:3 county's 73:17 current 18:23 declare 71:2,4,6 depends 19:23 depends 19:23 depends 19:23 depends 19:23 depends 19:23 deposed 10:6	counting 62:22	curb 41:1		
county 74:2 currency 57:16 77:15 88:3 57:20 county's 73:17 current 18:23 declare 71:2,4,6 depend 19:23 86:8 declare 71:2,4,6 depends 19:23 depends 19:23	83:11	curiosity 44:4		
77:15 88:3 57:20 31:6,8 depend 19:23 depends 19:23 depends 19:23 depends 19:23 depends 19:23	county 74:2	currency 57:16	'	•
county's 73:17 current 18:23 declare 71:2,4,6 depends 19:23	77:15 88:3	57:20		_
α_{oven} 01.0 α_{oven} denoted 10.6	county's 73:17		· ·	_
deeply 69:9 deeply 69:9	couple 81:9	86:8		deposed 10:6 30:6

Veritext Legal Solutions

deposition 2:13	directed 20:6,18	disqualification	duration 39:13
3:4,15,16 4:5	directly 24:14	81:12	39:14
9:4 10:10,11	26:22 42:4	dissertation	duties 79:14
11:22 12:1 30:5	disaffected 72:1	16:10,12 18:3,5	dylan 4:9 6:5
33:20 87:6	72:1	18:10,15,18	10:3
depositions 3:20	disagreement	district 1:1,2	dylan.mauldin
depression	56:1	30:23 31:1	6:9
23:14	discourse 40:16	divide 20:23	e
depth 18:13	42:22	85:22	e 5:1,1 6:1,1 7:1
descendants	discretion 79:9	divided 20:21	7:9 88:1,1
74:16	79:12 81:21	divides 20:3	earlier 20:20
describe 40:18	discussed 34:2	21:1	39:8 63:12 82:8
67:14	discussion	division 1:3	83:19
designed 48:13	16:14 65:1	document 34:20	earliest 38:15
destroy 40:6	discussions 34:4	36:13	early 18:16
41:15 42:8	disenfranchise	documentary	28:11 32:15,19
85:16	70:10 72:11,19	75:7	33:6,18 37:13
destroyed 35:10	disenfranchis	documents 26:7	38:7 39:10
details 34:4	29:6,23 82:21	26:9 34:8	42:18 44:18
determination	83:5,15	doing 17:20	45:22 48:22
79:17	disfranchise	dollars 74:13	65:8 73:1 77:4
determine 76:5	64:11 71:1	dominated	82:10
79:13	72:15	47:20	easily 43:14
development	disfranchised	double 31:17,23	eastern 50:21
27:20	75:12 77:1	34:21	easy 27:6,7 54:2
diaries 35:23	disfranchisem	dr 10:2	economic 58:9
dichotomy	18:21 29:11,18	draft 79:12	edit 9:13
45:14	40:8 48:2,8,10	drafting 34:19	editor 31:19
difference 53:14	49:12	drawing 86:19	77:10
different 10:20	disfranchising	duly 8:19	editorial 40:22
57:7 58:6 60:1	27:17 49:19	dunning 45:3	educated 36:8
68:1	displayed 70:10	46:6,10,11,12	education 14:23
direct 34:18	dispute 86:2	46:13,13,14,23	effect 3:17
36:21	disputes 69:16	47:3 48:4	49:20 54:11
		gal Salutions	

[effectively - filed]

effectively 38:22	entirely 45:16	44:8 52:12	f
70:23	equal 62:19	53:22 54:23	f 88:1
effects 59:4	era 22:12,17,19	57:21 68:18	fact 44:5 76:23
efforts 38:23,23	22:23 23:5,18	69:22 79:22	faction 46:2
either 14:7 26:6	25:3 27:17,23	examples 41:20	56:2 57:16 62:4
26:9 28:21 32:8	28:2 29:6,11	42:9	67:5,6,10 68:11
40:19 42:5	44:9 56:5,7	except 4:1	69:17 72:7
elaborate 46:9	82:21 83:5,15	exclusive 25:10	85:10
50:6	esq 4:10 5:5,14	excuse 46:22	factions 43:13
elect 61:15	6:5,6,15,16	exercise 75:2	51:15 56:9
elected 38:18	essays 46:19	82:3	57:19 58:6 59:2
67:20	essentially	exercised 76:10	60:2 68:1,7,16
election 51:4	18:11 50:6 53:2	exercising 78:18	70:1
61:6,9,20	56:13 63:20	exhaustive 26:3	facts 36:14
elections 27:11	73:12,21 83:10	exhibit 7:12,13	fair 33:3 56:10
50:1 51:3 60:12	estimate 34:17	14:13,15,18,20	famous 47:7
61:1,11 63:3	75:21	19:17 24:20	49:13
74:5 85:5	et 1:7,11,16,20	exists 35:9	far 75:19
electorate 81:20	2:5,9	expanded 16:13	farm 59:9
elias 5:15	evan 1:16	18:13,15 29:17	farmers 59:14
eliaslawgroup	eve 49:7	expect 54:19	fear 75:12
5:19	events 32:15	experience 44:8	federal 4:7 8:5
eliot 44:12,13	38:10	experiment	17:16 35:18
emancipation	eventually	45:17	feel 28:20 37:22
38:22 39:3,6,11	59:15	expert 7:13	38:19 85:15
39:18		30:15 31:3,7,13	fifteen 75:23
enacted 52:23	62:6	32:12 33:17	fight 38:3,4
ended 18:18	exact 25:21 27:1	37:11	51:17,23 65:4
ends 21:1 65:7	65:17	expertise 33:4,8	65:13 67:23
enjoyed 62:21	examination 7:3	expires 88:21,23	68:10 69:5,5
62:23	8:13 10:1	explain 36:16	85:1
enormous 73:18	examined 8:20	70:14	fighting 51:19
ensure 75:11	examining 36:3	explicitly 60:15	fights 69:1,21
enter 60:13	example 42:15 42:20 43:16	extend 57:9	filed 4:15

[find - happens]

Page 97

find 35:12 40:20	71:16 72:12	general's 10:4	60:20 62:1
41:4 42:3 52:5,6	88:8	generally 21:8	64:14 71:10,18
58:5 77:13 78:8	formally 60:13	23:3,10 57:2,3	81:16 85:9,9,13
78:10	formatting	geography	85:15
fired 66:10	25:20	43:12	gold 31:16
first 8:19 15:13	forward 22:23	giant 81:6	35:21 57:22,23
19:11 23:2,11	23:1 39:6,11	gilded 22:11,16	good 10:2 13:5
27:8 28:6 29:14	83:20	22:19,20 23:5	71:3 79:18
35:7 40:4 44:4	found 36:4	23:17	governor 53:1
52:21,23 53:16	fractious 52:4	ginned 44:22	54:3,4 62:8,10
73:9	framed 83:19	give 10:23 11:20	73:23
firsthand 64:3	framework	23:8 25:21	graduate 26:19
fitness 78:22	47:15	26:23 27:1	grange 59:12,12
79:1,2,3,5,5	fraud 40:6 42:8	52:13 68:22	great 9:18 23:14
five 82:16	47:19 52:6,9	77:12,16 79:10	45:17
flip 42:2	55:1 63:11,13	79:11	greenbackers
florida 15:1,11	63:13,14 64:8	given 45:21	57:21
focus 29:8 69:15	83:9	78:21 81:22	ground 10:9
focused 83:20	frederick 38:15	giving 28:18	grounds 4:4
follow 47:14	42:1,13	glass 64:23	group 5:15 69:1
following 8:14	free 28:20 37:22	gmail.com 5:9	69:3 71:22
43:18,19	38:19	go 10:9 13:19	groups 51:18
follows 8:20	frequently	14:12 23:21	guard 62:11
footnote 56:6	31:12	28:17 36:22	guess 72:17
66:12	front 34:21	67:20 71:14	guide 43:20
force 3:17	frustrate 38:10	74:7 80:2 85:15	h
foregoing 8:6	39:1	goes 66:15	h 7:9
88:5,9	full 3:17 13:7,8	73:18	hackney 64:13
forest 43:14	18:18 49:9	going 11:14	half 69:4,5,7,14
forget 17:5	further 3:13,21	14:12 36:9,10	hand 53:1
75:15	88:14	41:7,11 44:5	hands 62:14
forgetting 46:12	g	45:20 46:18	happened 33:2
forgot 11:22	gain 60:3	51:17,19,22,23	36:17 80:19
form 4:1 12:7	general 18:14	52:5,5 54:6,20	happens 12:8
12:13 70:11	20:2	56:14 57:2,3,15	1 1

Veritext Legal Solutions

[harking - justice]

Page 98

24:2,9,23 25:1,3	important 10:22	interests 27:10
26:8,11 41:17	11:5 44:15 47:3	internally 62:2
45:12,13 46:20	inaugurated	interracial
hobby 44:19	62:10	38:11 39:1
hold 33:16	include 57:14	45:18 60:4,15
37:10 60:17	includes 86:17	61:3,12 63:3
hosemann 30:22	indicates 62:7	67:7 70:11
hostile 65:4	indicating 56:4	71:16,19,21,22
hours 34:16,22	individual	72:13 85:12
huh 10:8 24:22	78:22	86:14
25:4 39:21 46:7	infamous 49:13	intimate 64:2
65:6 67:8 70:13	74:15	involve 35:16
humanities	infighting 66:9	involved 37:9
15:16	infirm 53:23	irony 45:8,9
hundred 35:11	initial 73:8 81:1	islands 26:15
hypothetically	inside 53:3 54:8	issue 86:5
35:18	insofar 48:19	it'll 30:20,21
i	installed 53:3	i
ideas 57:12 13	instance 40:4	james 5:5
	institutional	jazz 23:14
	43:4	jefferson 88:3
	instructions	jeffersonian
	70:20	62:4
,	integrated	jim 27:22 28:2
	84:21	job 19:12 36:11
	intelligence 41:8	53:4
	intend 36:23	joining 67:2
	37:5 54:17	jones 54:4
_	intended 72:14	journal 31:19
	intending 54:15	31:21
	intent 86:19	jublacksher 5:9
	interest 27:14	judge 78:22
	interested 88:17	judgment 82:3
impede 41:2	interesting 44:7	justice 28:15,23
	26:8,11 41:17 45:12,13 46:20 hobby 44:19 hold 33:16 37:10 60:17 hosemann 30:22 hostile 65:4 hours 34:16,22 huh 10:8 24:22 25:4 39:21 46:7 65:6 67:8 70:13 humanities 15:16 hundred 35:11 hypothetically 35:18 i ideas 57:12,13 identifiable 49:17 identification 14:15,20 ii 2:14 3:5 8:12 8:18 13:9 25:1 illiterate 53:22 71:10 75:3 image 55:15 immediate 67:16 85:2 immediately 39:2 67:21 80:15	26:8,11 41:17 45:12,13 46:20 hobby 44:19 hold 33:16 37:10 60:17 hosemann 30:22 hostile 65:4 hours 34:16,22 huh 10:8 24:22 25:4 39:21 46:7 65:6 67:8 70:13 humanities 15:16 hundred 35:11 hypothetically 35:18 ideas 57:12,13 identifiable 49:17 identification 14:15,20 ii 2:14 3:5 8:12 8:18 13:9 25:1 illiterate 53:22 71:10 75:3 image 55:15 immediate 67:16 85:2 immediately 39:2 67:21 80:15

Veritext Legal Solutions

[justify - look]

Page 99

justify 48:9	77:6,8,12,14	laws 3:18	limited 52:10
k	78:6,13 81:12	leaders 57:2	lincoln 67:20,22
	81:13,17,18	63:19	linwood 5:7
kallas 3:8 8:8	82:5,22 83:4,5,8	leading 4:2	list 21:16 24:20
kate 6:15	83:9,9,10,13,19	league 60:10	25:5,10 26:3,17
keep 57:22	85:8,11 86:12	leaves 23:18	27:9 41:20
62:12	knowledge 64:3	lectures 46:19	listed 25:9
kept 18:11 75:4	known 79:18	left 19:11	listen 83:1
key 74:9	kolb 62:9	legally 48:9	lists 26:2
khanna 5:14	1	legendary 44:14	literacy 74:18
kin 88:15		legislative 38:16	74:19
kind 18:9 21:15	1 3:1	80:21	literal 48:1
42:15 48:15,18	lab 44:11	legislature	literally 57:19
57:6 78:18	lack 71:7	52:19 80:22	70:2 71:2
knew 44:17	lacks 71:5	legislature's	literature 33:15
knock 81:16	laid 81:19	86:18	36:2
know 9:5 11:1,8	lancaster 6:15	legitimate 51:14	litigation 30:16
12:2,18 18:11	86:23 87:3	length 41:23	little 11:14,18
21:12 25:12	lane 3:6 4:8 8:1	level 16:4 20:13	15:7 22:2,14
26:19 29:10	88:20	20:15,16 26:19	23:15 68:16
32:1,15 33:4	language 40:15	62:5 68:22	76:9 77:13 80:3
35:1,11,19 36:8	42:5,9	69:18,20 84:19	live 13:10
38:10 40:17	large 3:7 8:3 43:10 50:16	85:7	lived 13:14 44:4
41:2,5 42:5,17	67:9	liberal 67:14	44:6
43:11,19 44:12	8	68:19,21 69:8	livingston 13:11
48:16,19,21	larger 44:1	lies 45:7	13:23
50:5 53:5 54:1	late 29:12 32:18	life 43:17 45:4	local 51:17,18
54:17 56:1,12	33:5,18 37:13	81:11	long 13:14 19:4
57:11,15 58:1,6	39:9 41:17	lifelong 45:10	24:5,15 43:17
58:12,17 61:6	42:17 45:12	lifetime 81:5,9	44:5,6 58:23
61:10,11 62:19	48:21,21 55:13	lily 38:5 65:13	81:7 85:7,7
64:1,4 65:16,18	58:12 59:3 60:5	65:23 66:10	look 24:19
66:16,23 67:17	60:6 61:14 82:9	69:21 86:1	25:13,16 28:16
69:6,15,19	law 3:8 5:6,15	limit 29:19	37:21 38:19
70:19 75:7,8,14	8:7 19:10,11		40:21 42:2
75:18 76:4,5	28:13 45:6		

Veritext Legal Solutions

[looked - movement]

looked 17:2	making 12:8	matters 36:7	methodologies
81:6	59:1 68:3	mauldin 4:10	25:2
loophole 74:14	man 44:9 46:4	6:5 7:4 9:1 10:1	methodology
74:15,17	73:9 75:11,12	10:3 16:15 65:2	35:3
lose 43:14 62:1	75:13 79:18	82:15,20 83:16	mic 11:13,15
62:3	maneuvers	86:21	michael 6:16
loses 73:10	63:12	mckay 6:6	mid 22:9
lost 35:10 81:21	manipulate	mclemore 30:22	middle 70:2
lot 33:13 50:4	54:20	mean 17:8 18:3	milligan 1:16
57:17,18 75:14	manuscript	22:17 23:7	mine 14:9 24:9
loud 74:11	31:20 35:13,13	31:14 40:8	32:7 65:11
louder 11:15,18	40:20 76:18	48:23 51:10	ministerial 73:3
love 45:9	manuscripts	53:18 55:7	82:1,2
low 76:2 77:21	32:11	57:10,18 58:18	minority 72:2,3
77:23	maps 86:5,19	61:8 62:18	85:10
lumped 68:8	march 82:10	68:23 72:23	minute 17:2
m	marcus 2:5	76:12	82:16
made 3:23	margins 71:23	meaning 39:20	misadministra
main 43:5,7	mark 13:20	means 35:6	51:5
68:9 71:18 78:7	14:13 54:1	45:19 60:1 88:6	miscount 51:8,8
80:23,23	marked 14:15	mechanics	mississippi 30:3
maintain 60:16	14:20 54:10,12	70:16,18	31:1,2 50:19
maintained 51:6	massive 67:12	med 20:17	misspoke 11:23
major 15:15,19	68:23	meddling 47:17	misunderstood
50:23	master's 15:22	meeting 33:22	80:8
majority 50:23	16:4,21 17:14	members 68:6	monetary 69:16
55:5 56:15	18:12	84:18	money 57:22
58:13 60:11	mat 20:17	men 47:7 55:16	81:7
67:11 72:2	material 32:18	55:21,23 75:5	montgomery
majors 22:3	32:20 35:8 36:1	75:10,14 77:19	6:8
majors 22.3 make 4:3 9:8	materials 32:13	78:9	moral 76:6
12:12 25:8	35:23 36:21	mention 66:2,13	morning 10:2
28:18 36:12,14	math 13:22	mentioned 29:5	movement 48:2
60:8,9 69:13	matter 10:12	46:5	51:21 58:17
00.0,5 05.13	35:15		

[mtaunton - organizing]

Page 101

mtaunton 6:21	newspapers	odd 63:17	older 57:2
multitude 36:9	40:23	offer 20:17	once 20:14
36:10	nodding 11:1	63:20 85:23	60:21 73:11
n	nods 80:12	86:4,7,11	85:21
n 3:1 5:1 6:1 7:1	non 26:13	offered 4:5 22:2	ones 47:7 57:2
name 10:3 13:7	north 3:9 6:18	23:16 24:6 27:2	76:22
13:8 22:17	8:8 49:14 50:21	office 10:4 73:4	onward 39:18
46:11 57:19	northern 1:2	73:6 74:8 78:23	opening 40:10
	notary 3:7 8:2,3	79:1 81:23	40:12
66:11 77:14	novel 25:3	offices 3:8 8:7	opens 82:10
named 64:13	null 73:13	84:16	operating 71:22
names 76:16	number 31:22	official 1:10,19	operation 27:21
narrative 48:17	62:23 75:20	2:8	73:2
narrow 81:7 national 62:11	0	officials 51:3	operations 32:9
67:12 68:22	o 3:1	74:1	opine 86:18
69:18 70:5	oath 10:14	oh 9:12 15:9	opinion 86:1,4,7
native 44:10	object 12:5	18:4 28:17	86:10
		66:20 72:14	opinions 32:12
necessarily	objection 12:6 12:11,13	okay 11:2 14:2	35:4 37:1,1,5
42:11 49:15	objections 3:23	14:5,8,11,17	86:12
56:20 58:22	4:3	15:9 16:15	opponent 45:10
necessary 3:22		17:21 21:16	45:23
need 11:23	objectivity 36:19	23:21 24:1,7,11	opponents
12:18,20 26:23		24:15,19 25:11	40:19 41:6,11
51:11 64:22	obvious 49:17	26:1 28:16 29:5	59:18 72:15
75:11 85:15	occasion 20:5	37:20 39:22	opportunity
needed 51:9,10	occasionally	52:20 54:22	10:11
neither 25:10	12:17	63:6 64:5 66:5	opposed 67:6
26:1 88:15	occupation	66:14 67:4 76:1	oral 4:11 8:13
never 30:14	18:23	78:17 79:4,7	order 48:9
32:3 45:15	occupied 84:18	80:17 82:14	60:16
59:16 63:5	occur 50:8	83:17	organizations
68:12	51:13 63:18	old 25:12,13,23	59:10
new 44:20 47:23	occurring 88:12	67:19,19	organizing 58:7
newspaper	oceania 26:12		
40:21 77:10,16	26:15,16		

Veritext Legal Solutions

[oriented - political]

oriented 60:9	participate 49:2	percent 75:18	pick 43:1 53:1
62:8	participation	75:23	70:6
original 4:10	39:8 45:11 46:1	percentage	picked 43:2,3
18:14	49:6,18 77:21	75:16	pickens 13:13
outside 28:8,11	77:23 84:12	period 22:8,20	picks 21:2 22:21
32:11,11,21	particular 20:7	23:4 28:2,4 29:1	23:17
33:8,11,17	34:19 49:7	29:6,10,15,17	place 3:9 8:8
overlaps 22:21	53:21	29:23 30:1 33:5	37:17,20 42:17
own 34:9 48:6	parties 3:3 4:3	33:9,12,13 37:9	51:7 54:8,14,17
63:22 74:13	43:6,6,7 68:7	37:18 39:12,14	plaintiffs 1:8,17
81:8	88:16	43:21 55:12,19	2:6 5:3,12
р	partisan 40:23	56:11,22 61:5	please 4:13 11:7
_	54:7	65:18 78:16,17	12:2 13:6 23:15
p 3:1 5:1,1 6:1,1	partisans 40:18	80:10 83:22	plurality 51:1
pacific 26:8,13	45:1	85:3,17	point 12:1 41:16
26:13,14	parts 20:21	periodizations	46:8 49:14 53:7
package 57:12	party 38:7 43:8	22:18	61:4 72:21,22
pad 51:11	43:9 44:2 45:16	periods 22:5	76:12
page 7:3 11:9 19:17 24:20	58:19,20 59:16	29:14 82:12	poison 48:13
	61:10 66:11	person 71:3,5	policy 35:15
25:5 27:8,18	67:18 68:2,8,15	73:16	political 27:21
31:11 39:4,17	69:9,14,23 70:4	personal 35:22	38:11 39:1,7
40:5,14 55:3	84:14,17,18	pet 44:19	40:16,16 41:3
64:16 66:4,19 70:21 72:9	past 20:5 26:18	ph.d. 2:14 3:5	42:15,22 43:5,6
	55:10	8:12,18 16:11	43:7 45:11,18
pair 28:12	patrons 59:12	16:12,16 18:7	46:1 47:20 49:2
paper 57:22	patterns 86:8	phenomena	49:5,18 51:14
paragraph 39:5	pay 81:15	63:17	56:2 57:1 58:7,8
39:17 40:5,11	peer 31:17,18	philosophies	58:9 60:4,15
pardon 18:2	pending 12:19	43:13	62:14 63:23
park 3:9 8:8	people 18:6	phrase 48:6	64:1,8,8 68:7
parse 29:13	41:22 59:21	56:22	69:12 70:12
part 20:4,4,23	68:14	phrases 74:9	71:16 83:2 84:5
21:1,3 64:10,10 83:8	people's 59:16	physically 53:23	84:13 85:22

[politically - qualitative]

politically 48:9	practical 27:21	print 57:21	property 74:13
politicians	54:11	printed 81:6	74:23
41:10	practicing 45:6	prior 4:6 73:12	prosecuted 68:5
poll 71:13 74:22	precinct 53:3	priority 69:13	prospective
74:22 81:15,15	precincts 51:3	privilege 34:6	79:20
81:18	predecessor	pro 47:1	provide 12:23
polling 51:7	62:11	probably 51:4	26:21 31:3 37:5
54:8,14,16	preparation	71:10 75:4	provided 30:15
poor 75:3 76:6	34:20	problem 45:12	public 3:7 8:2
populating	prepare 33:19	procedure 4:8	35:15 74:11
84:20	preparing 34:17	8:5 82:2	75:9
population	preprinted	proceeding 88:5	publication
50:17 72:3	53:17	proceedings	33:11
populations	prescribed	8:14 88:12	publicized
51:1	82:11	process 49:2	76:23
populist 51:21	present 21:6,10	83:2 84:14	publish 47:11
54:13 58:16,19	21:13 86:16	produced 53:18	published 28:11
59:5,6 60:9 62:8	presented 81:4	88:7	35:16
populists 52:1	preserve 76:15	product 34:6	publishers 32:4
54:15 59:17,18	76:17	professor 19:1	publishing
60:14 62:7	president 44:14	46:15	31:16,18 46:17
populites 59:21	65:21 66:2,7	program 64:10	46:20 48:5,11
portion 23:1	70:7	64:11 68:3	48:12
postbellum	presidential	programs 20:17	pull 26:20 43:20
20:19	61:19,20	progress 55:9	purpose 36:15
postgraduate	press 32:7	progressive	pursuant 8:4
20:16	presses 32:8,10	22:12,16,19,23	push 56:15
potential 17:10	pretty 32:22	23:5,18	pushing 48:17
potentially 60:3	preventing	project 45:5	put 49:20 65:16
60:23 62:21	83:10	prominent	74:1
74:10	primarily 44:23	45:23	q
power 60:3,17	58:15 84:14	promising 82:23	qualifications
78:21 82:5,6	primary 35:8	85:19	41:7 75:1
powerful 73:20	67:23	proper 71:7	qualitative 35:5
powerrar 75.20			

[question - reporter]

question 11:6,7	reading 3:15	recorded 9:9	registrations
12:7,10,14,19	22:2 26:19	recording 10:22	73:12
12:20 18:15	readings 20:7	records 26:20	regular 21:23
58:8,9,21 72:17	20:18 21:7,8	76:17 78:8,10	68:18
questions 4:1,2	realize 11:22	redone 73:13	regularly 24:21
10:13 13:3	really 9:11 16:3	refer 29:10 45:3	25:7
57:17,20 69:15	17:19,22 26:14	46:18 67:13	rejected 76:19
85:22 87:2,3	33:10 35:21	reference 28:20	76:21
quick 64:20	40:22 43:16	referenced	relating 3:19
77:12	47:9 54:2 58:17	63:12	relative 33:14
quip 64:12	58:21,23 63:8	referencing	relatively 63:14
quit 80:13	67:18 80:3,4,4	28:3 42:7 56:12	rely 71:12
quite 22:20	82:5 85:9	63:11	remain 59:2
56:19	reason 12:22	referring 57:8	81:11
quote 41:23	56:15 59:22	reflected 67:15	remember
54:8	84:1,23	register 75:10	30:23 31:5 38:2
quoted 42:3	reasonably	77:20 78:19,20	40:3
r	54:19	82:7	repeat 83:3
r 2:14 3:5 5:1	recalling 42:11	registered 73:15	report 7:13 14:6
6:1 8:11 88:1	receive 14:23	78:3,6 81:3	14:7,18 19:17
race 57:9	15:12 16:16,18	registering	25:6 27:18 31:4
radicals 68:11	received 15:2,21	83:11	31:8,12 34:2,9
68:12,13	31:20	registrar 70:21	34:13,17,19
range 32:21	recently 22:1	74:7 76:4 78:5	35:4 37:2,6,9,17
33:1	26:6	registrars 51:4	37:20 38:12,13
rare 32:23	reconstruction	71:12 73:3,9,17	38:13,20 39:4,9
rather 43:2	20:4 22:8,22	73:19 74:2,5,8	39:15 41:21,23
63:17	38:14 44:21	75:8 76:3 77:9	42:10 48:16
ratified 73:11	45:2,7 46:21	77:18 78:13	50:4 55:4 64:16
reach 35:4	47:12,13,18	80:10 81:21	65:3,7,19 70:8
reaches 65:19	48:5,7 51:16	82:4	70:14 72:10
read 9:3,8,21	85:3	registration	83:22 85:23
25:9 40:20 71:5	record 12:9	72:21,23 73:8	86:11,17
74:12,12	16:14 65:1 75:8	76:13 81:2	reporter 3:6
17.14,14	76:18	82:10,12	4:15 8:2,22 9:9

[reporter - see] Page 105

10:21 40:4 87:1	respect 42:23	62:19 69:13	S
represent 10:5	respective 3:4	77:4	s 3:1,1 5:1 6:1
representative	respond 66:8	riley 6:15	7:9 53:19
44:1	rest 39:12	rim 26:14	saw 44:16
represented	restrict 41:1	rise 47:22 59:8	sayre 52:14,23
46:3	restriction	59:9 68:22	sayre 52.14,25 scale 67:13
represents 44:3	29:16	riser 2:14 3:5	scene 47:20
88:10	restrictions	8:12,18 10:3	scholars 44:23
republican 38:7	80:22	13:8	45:1 47:4
42:22 43:8,17	result 47:16	riven 69:23	scholarship
43:22 45:16	61:6 88:17	river 50:18	27:20 28:8
54:13 61:10,13	retained 4:14	rlancaster 6:20	48:12
61:16,19 67:15	retrospect 81:18	road 5:7	school 19:11
67:17 68:2,8	returns 61:21	rob 15:7	45:3 46:6,10
69:9 70:3 84:14	reuben 62:9	robberies 64:15	48:4
republicans	review 28:13	robert 8:18 13:8	schools 19:14
45:15 46:2	31:17 34:7	roll 76:16	scotland 59:13
51:18 52:1	reviewed 31:18	rolls 75:17	scylla 17:4
54:16 60:13	reviewer 31:13	81:11	seattle 5:18
65:5 66:17,22	reviewers 31:22	roosevelt 65:21	second 15:17,18
67:6 68:19,20	reviewing 32:14	65:22 66:3,7	29:17,22 39:5
68:21 69:2,10	revolt 59:5,7	roster 26:23	secondary
70:6,6 82:22	rid 72:5,6	roughly 28:5	33:15 36:2
83:6	right 10:2,7	29:9,12 37:12	secret 53:12,14
required 12:9	13:6,19 14:11	65:15 82:6	secretary 1:11
research 24:12	14:22 18:22	83:21	1:20 2:9 10:5
27:9,15,17 29:9	19:13,16 28:19	routinely 51:8	section 25:15
33:11 36:21	30:4 40:12	rpr 88:20	see 27:1,3 49:4
resent 69:9	41:19 50:21	rules 3:19 4:8	51:2,7,11,16,17
residual 59:4	53:23 54:18	8:5 10:10,20	51:20,22,23
resistance 55:8	72:13 73:10	running 26:17	54:9,12 56:6
resistant 66:23	79:9 82:15	runs 66:21	57:1 59:15
	86:21		61:17,18 63:5
resolved 60:19			
resolved 60:19 80:20	rights 18:16		85:3,4

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-373-3660 800.808.4958

[seeing - state] Page 106

seeing 85:2	show 73:16 74:8	social 69:12	spanning 29:12
seek 82:22	75:10,15	socially 48:10	spans 22:19
seeking 41:1	showing 14:14	somebody 32:23	speak 11:13,14
83:7	14:19	sorry 18:4 65:10	11:17 15:6
seen 49:23	shropshire	79:2,5 83:14	34:10 48:14
56:21	77:14 78:4	sort 20:2 21:23	speaking 35:18
selecting 74:2	shut 58:16	35:21 42:21	37:13 38:23
seminar 22:2	side 65:22	43:19 44:19	42:13 56:4
25:2 47:5	sign 9:3,21	47:14 60:8	specific 76:21
seminars 20:9	signature 3:14	62:18 64:6	specifically
send 31:21	88:19	65:19 68:16	33:21 47:12
32:10	significant	69:16 73:5 75:1	78:1
senior 25:2	44:18 49:16,23	83:12 84:3,3,6,8	spend 33:13
sense 36:12	50:23 61:18,18	sought 66:17	spends 44:10
53:19 84:10	67:5	source 35:8	spent 34:17
sent 32:17	silver 58:1,4	sources 35:13	split 60:1
sentence 39:5	similar 76:8	35:14 36:11	spoke 34:1 77:5
40:9 66:19	similarly 11:5	40:21	spoken 86:15
72:10	39:16	south 24:3	spring 73:14
serious 62:13	simple 51:5	27:23 28:2 47:6	stalwart 69:5,10
service 38:16	simply 36:13	47:21,23 48:3	stalwarts 69:3
48:1,1	46:21,22 60:22	49:19 51:20	stamping 63:8
services 30:16	75:15	82:21	63:10
set 57:9	single 32:5 43:3	southern 1:3	
settlement 84:4	52:12	16:5 24:8 29:15	
settles 84:9	singleton 1:7	31:1 38:6 41:17	
seventh 5:16	sins 63:23 64:1	42:22 43:16,21	57:23 58:3
several 73:4	situation 50:13	44:22 45:1,12	stark 45:14
82:11	six 67:19	45:13 47:1,8	start 23:8,10
shame 75:4	sixth 6:18	49:3,6 50:15	started 18:12
sheldon 64:13	sizable 67:5	51:13 69:19	state 1:11,20 2:9
shifting 42:21	small 53:19 54:6	70:5,6 81:19	3:7 8:2 10:5
57:16	55:2	southerner	13:6 15:1,11
shifts 44:1	smaller 75:19	46:14	29:21 49:6,7,8
			50:3,16 53:18

Veritext Legal Solutions 877-373-3660 800.808.4958

[state - term] Page 107

53:19 61:22	strong 43:16	37:4 57:10 59:1	tans 38:6 65:14
62:23 69:20	struggle 39:7	60:8 86:22	65:23 69:22
73:10 79:10	student 18:12	surrounds 59:8	target 72:18,20
80:5 81:5 84:5	19:10	survey 20:2	targeting 71:15
85:6 88:2,22	students 20:8	26:11	72:7
states 1:1 29:15	studied 22:7,8	surveys 21:23	tariff 69:16
47:8 49:3,11	study 23:19	sway 55:23	taught 19:4,19
51:13,22 57:23	stuff 34:23 51:8	sworn 8:19	20:2,5,6 21:17
69:20 71:8	sub 29:14	sydney 42:1	21:22 22:11
79:15	subsumed 58:20	system 26:20	24:2,16,21 26:6
statewide 60:11	subtitle 17:5	58:7 84:5	26:18
62:4 63:2 73:23	succeeded 48:18	systems 27:22	taunton 6:16
static 84:10	success 48:20,23	t	tax 74:22
stay 11:9	61:8	t 3:1,1 7:9 88:1	taxes 71:13
steal 40:6 41:14	successful 61:4	88:1	81:15,15,18
42:8 64:6	63:8,10 76:20	table 47:5	teach 19:13,18
stenographic	successors 69:8	tack 47:2,2	19:19 20:11
88:6	suffrage 29:16	take 12:17,21	21:17 22:10,10
stipulated 3:2	suggested 48:19	19:11 25:16,17	23:13 24:1,3,9
3:13,21	suite 3:9 5:17	37:17 38:1	24:13 25:7
stipulation 8:6	6:18 8:9	64:20 82:16	27:13
stipulations	summary 40:12	taken 3:5 4:11	teaching 19:8
8:23	summer 30:2	82:19 88:5	19:12 27:9 64:5
stolen 50:6,11	supervision	takes 37:20	teens 29:13 47:9
stop 21:8 64:15	88:9	46:10,23 47:2	tell 22:14 23:15
83:9	support 62:16	talk 39:6 41:5,7	25:14,17 76:9
straight 29:18	supporters	55:4	76:22
street 13:13	62:13	talked 20:20	temptation 9:19
strength 59:23	supremacists	83:6	tenure 28:14
strike 75:17,18	56:13,17	talking 26:15	tenureship
strip 29:19	supremacy	28:1 39:11	28:15
60:20	56:23	40:15 41:8	term 41:18
stripped 60:22	supreme 35:17	50:18,19,20	49:16 55:2,6
strive 36:19	sure 9:8 21:9	52:21 59:4	56:16 59:13
	25:8,20 28:18		68:21

Veritext Legal Solutions 800.808.4958

[terms - unconstitutional]

Page 108

terms 18:13	think 13:5,16,22	85:17 88:13	truthful 12:23
33:15 34:18	17:3 20:8 21:6	times 30:8	try 9:12 11:8
37:10 40:6	24:15 30:21	title 17:4,4	13:22 44:20
41:16 70:19	31:6 32:7 37:7	18:18	85:18
84:6	37:19 38:3,14	titled 31:6	trying 17:3 21:6
test 74:18,20	38:15 41:22	today 13:1 14:3	25:8 41:12,14
79:11,12	51:10 56:4	40:2	41:15 45:6
testified 8:20	58:11 64:22	today's 33:21	58:13,15 60:7
30:12	67:9 75:22 80:9	told 32:3 77:17	72:11
testify 36:23	81:9	tomorrow 19:6	turn 61:1
37:8 74:10	thinks 12:11	took 42:17	turned 75:13
testimony 2:13	thomas 54:4	66:18	turning 78:9,11
4:11 10:12 13:1	thompson 30:11	top 38:18 42:12	tuscaloosa
63:21	30:18 31:8	46:12 84:16	13:18
thank 8:22 40:1	thought 66:6	topic 17:23	two 15:2,10
theft 40:6 42:8	thousands 75:4	21:14,15	20:8,21,22 26:7
47:18 50:8 52:6	threat 51:12	topics 20:10	29:14 41:22
52:9 55:1 64:9	52:8 58:16	torbert 28:23	43:5,5,7 73:23
theme 27:19	62:14 71:19,20	total 51:11	type 63:11,11
48:16	71:23 72:6	track 35:20,20	typical 46:2
theodore 65:21	three 20:14	trade 32:8	typically 52:1
theoretically	51:23 74:5	tragic 47:16	77:2,2
21:4,5 74:19	thumbs 11:2	transcript 4:10	u
80:1	time 4:4,5 12:6	88:7,11	u 3:1 5:5
thereto 4:6	12:6 22:5 23:3	treating 28:14	u.s. 17:9 19:19
thesis 16:5,6,21	24:5 25:17 28:2	trees 43:15	20:3 22:18
17:14 18:1,9	28:10 29:1,6,23	trial 4:4 37:4	24:23 25:1
thing 17:2 21:15	32:21 33:1,4,9	tried 70:9,15	uh 10:8 24:22
36:16 45:21	33:12,13,14	tropes 41:19,21	25:4 39:21 46:7
64:6 69:17 75:1	37:9,18 38:1	trouble 15:8	65:6 67:8 70:13
80:23 81:1,7	39:12 44:6	true 45:16 49:21	unchecked
things 35:20	52:23 55:12	50:14 88:11	78:15 80:11,14
36:7 59:11	56:11,22 58:10	trust 9:22	82:6
71:13 74:20	61:5 63:16	truth 34:23	unconstitutional
	65:18 73:18		17:15

Veritext Legal Solutions

800.808.4958

[unconstitutionality - white]

Page 109

unconstitution	used 53:16 55:2	voter 52:11	wanted 72:19
17:11	56:22	53:21,22,23,23	war 23:2,11
under 10:14	using 41:21	73:8,12 79:19	25:2 44:9,10
17:16 59:2 73:7	43:19	79:20 81:1	46:20 47:11,13
79:15 81:22	usual 8:23	voter's 78:22	47:16 55:15
88:8	usually 32:5	voters 41:9	67:17,22 68:5
undergraduate	uwa 19:9,12	48:20 53:4	68:10,15
14:23 20:9,13	V	55:17 59:23	wartime 67:21
22:3	v 1:9,18 2:7	60:3,10 62:17	washington
understand	30:22	65:3 70:1 71:15	5:18 6:7
10:12,15 11:3,7	various 45:2,7	72:8,19 75:16	waste 81:19
12:15	56:9 59:9 63:18	75:19,20 77:22	water 48:13
understanding	vast 43:11 45:17	78:1 85:19	64:18,23
71:7 74:21	45:19	votes 50:5 52:10	way 31:23 42:16
79:11	vaughan 66:12	61:19 62:22	48:18 51:23
understood	66:13	63:1 82:23 83:1	'
79:14	venture 52:7	83:12	61:2 71:18 72:4
unfolds 81:17	86:9	voting 18:16	72:4,5 73:21
unifying 27:19	views 57:9	27:10 41:6	85:16
united 1:1 57:23	violence 51:12	42:17 50:1	ways 50:10
71:7 79:15	51:12 52:7,8	62:19 70:21	week 77:12
university 15:22	virginia 49:10	77:4 79:6 81:10	went 29:18
16:20 19:3 32:8	vision 55:10	81:11 86:8	77:10
32:9 44:15	vitae 7:12	W	wes 1:10,19 2:8
46:15	voice 41:3	waive 9:4,20	west 19:3
unnamed 78:5	voices 36:10	waived 3:16	whatley 3:8 8:8
unquote 54:9	volney 2:14 3:5	want 9:3,16	whig 55:18
untrue 56:18	8:12,18 13:8	27:7 28:18	whigs 55:19
updated 25:15	vote 41:13,14,15	30:23 38:16,17	white 45:14
updates 77:13	54:15,17 60:21	52:17 57:21,22	51:15 55:5,16
use 35:3 40:18	60:22 66:18	58:1,1 61:7	56:9,12,14,16
41:18 42:6,14	73:10,15 75:10	64:17,20 69:14	56:22 57:1 58:6
42:20 46:18	77:20 81:3 82:8	71:1 78:20	58:12 59:1,23
48:6 49:15 55:6	83:7,11 84:7	82:16	60:1,7 65:4
56:11,16 57:7	85:20		66:10 71:15

Veritext Legal Solutions

[white - zoom] Page 110

72:2,6,18 75:9	46:23 52:13	years 13:16,23	
75:11,12,13,14	55:1 76:17 77:7	20:6,14 27:16	
75:16,20 77:19	77:11 83:19	35:1,2,11 44:13	
77:22,23 78:9	working 28:22	67:19	
84:18 85:18	34:23 35:7	yesterday 34:22	
86:1	works 31:23	young 44:9 46:3	
whites 38:5 61:1	world 23:2,11	47:4,7	
65:14 66:1	55:15,21	Z	
69:21 70:10	worried 56:5,8	zoom 5:14 87:2	
72:12 85:21	56:8	Z0011 3.14 67.2	
widespread	write 16:23 71:6		
49:5 84:12	74:12,12		
william 46:11	written 48:1		
66:11	70:20		
willing 71:16	wrote 16:5,9		
72:12	X		
willingness	x 7:1,9 74:13		
70:11			
win 60:11 61:9	y		
61:11 85:8,9	y'all 82:16		
window 80:4	yeah 9:1,14,15		
winning 61:6	11:2 13:23 14:1		
wishes 70:22	16:12 18:8		
witness 3:15	20:23 27:4		
8:12 9:5,12,15	28:22 39:13,19		
9:18,22 11:16	40:14 41:19		
11:19,20 14:9	56:6 65:9,12 66:5 72:16 76:7		
15:9 64:19	79:4 80:18		
80:12 82:18	83:21		
women 47:7			
won 62:9	year 16:16 19:5 19:11 26:18		
word 17:1 53:8	29:22 38:9,12		
words 40:17	52:15 65:15,17		
work 28:5 34:6	80:16 82:12		
34:18 35:6,9	00.10 02.12		
	1	1	

877-373-3660 800.808.4958

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the

foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete

transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers

as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal

Solutions further represents that the attached

exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete

documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or

attorneys in relation to this deposition and that

the documents were processed in accordance with

our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or
at www.veritext.com.

Professor of History . Department of History & Social Sciences

The University of West Alabama . UWA Station 22

Livingston, Alabama 35470 . rvolneyriser@gmail.com . www.rvolneyriser.com

TEACHING & PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Professor of History, August 2019– The University of West Alabama

Associate Professor of History, 2014–2019 The University of West Alabama

Assistant Professor of History, 2007–2014 The University of West Alabama

Lecturer in History, 2005–2007 The University of West Alabama

Editor, 2012-2017 The Alabama Review

TEACHING, RESEARCH, & ADVISING INTERESTS

U.S. Constitutional History American Legal History Voting Rights and Elections American Political History Gilded Age & Progressive Era Southern History

PUBLICATIONS

Published Books

Defying Disfranchisement: Black Voting Rights Activism in the Jim Crow South, 1890–1908 (Louisiana State University Press, May 2010; paperback edition, January 2013).

A Goodly Heritage: Judges and Historically Significant Decisions of the United States Court for the Middle District of Alabama, 1804–1955 (Bounds Library Occasional Papers Series, no. 7, University of Alabama School of Law, May 2010).

Books in Progress

Retribution in History: Politics, Anti-Democracy, and Jim Crow Constitutionalism, 1890-1915

The Litigious Mr. Washington: Booker T. Washington and the Courts.



Published Peer-reviewed Articles

(co-authored with Tony A. Freyer and Paul M. Pruitt, Jr.) "Clement Clay Torbert and Alabama Law Reform." *Alabama Law Review* 63, no. 4 (2012): 867–94.

"Disfranchisement, the U.S. Constitution, and the Courts: Alabama's 1901 Constitutional Convention Debates the Grandfather Clause." *American Journal of Legal History* 48, no. 3 (2006): 237–79.

"The Milk in the Cocoanut': Booker T. Washington, Theodore Roosevelt, and the Fear of Conspiracy in Alabama's 1901 Constitutional Ratification Referendum." Southern Historian 26 (Spring 2005): 30–54.

"The Burdens of Being White: Empire and Disfranchisement." Alabama Law Review 53, no. 1 (Fall 2001): 243–72.

Articles in Progress

"Empire's Ladder: African American Emigration to Hawai'i, 1899-1901."

"Jim Crow's Unexpected Influence upon Hawaii: Southern Disfranchisement, Northern Imperialists, and the 1900 Hawaiian Organic Act."

"Ua Mau ke Ea o ka 'Āina i ka Pono: Hawai'i's 1900 Territorial Delegate Election and the Fight to Redeem the 1900 Organic Act."

PRESENTATIONS

Selected Academic Presentations

"Jim Crow's Unexpected Influence upon Hawaii: Southern Disfranchisement, Northern Imperialists, and the 1900 Hawaiian Organic Act." Policy History Association Biennial Meeting, Nashville, June 1-3, 2016.

"The Genius of Wilford Smith." Association of the Study of African American Life and History Annual Meeting, Jacksonville, Florida, October 2–6, 2013 (delivered in absentia).

"Introducing Wilford H. Smith." American Historical Association Annual Meeting, New Orleans, January 3–6, 2013.

"The 'Fightin' Granddaddy' Clause: Voting, and Military Service as Proxies for Race in the Disfranchisement Era." American Historical Association, Boston, January 6–9, 2011.

"Whence Came Williams: Voting Rights Activism in 1890s Mississippi." Centennial Celebration of Civil Rights Conference, University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, October 21–23, 2010.

"The Lost Promise of Collaboration: Booker T. Washington, W. E. B. Du Bois, and the Implications of Their (Failed) Joint Fight Against Jim Crow." Southern Historical Association Annual Meeting, Louisville, November 5–8, 2009.

"How Long was the Long Civil Rights Movement?: The Example of African American Voting Rights Claims in the Disfranchisement Era." San Francisco State University Rights Conference, San Francisco State University, September 17–18, 2009.

"Who Was Henry Williams?: Disfranchisement, Black Protest, and the Case of *Williams v. Mississippi*." Race and Place in the American South Conference, The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, 2008.

"Black Voting Rights Activism in Disfranchisement Era Alabama." Southern Historical Association Annual Meeting, Birmingham, 2006.

"The Cases of Jackson Giles: Black Voting Rights Activism in the Progressive Era." Social Science History Association Annual Meeting, Minneapolis, November 2–5, 2006.

"The Anti-Disfranchisement Cases of 1890—1915." Association for the Study of African American Life and History Annual Meeting, Atlanta, September 27–30, 2006.

AWARDS, FELLOWSHIPS & GRANTS

- -2022 Global South Research Grant, New Orleans Center for the Gulf South, Tulane University
- -2020 Joel Williamson Research Fund Summer Fellowship, Southern Historical Collection, University of North Carolina—Chapel Hill
- -2019 Loraine McIlwain Bell Trustee Professor Award, University of West Alabama
- U.S.-Norway Fulbright Foundation, Fulbright Roving Scholars Program 2019-2020 (Alternate).
- -National Science Foundation EPSCOR RII grant, "Bamboo: An Integrated Model Using Natural and Human Systems Sustainability for Adoption." Social Science Team Co-Pl. (unfunded)
- -University Research Grant, University of West Alabama, 2011–2012
- -University Research Grant, University of West Alabama, 2007–2008
- -University Research Grant, University of West Alabama, 2006–2007
- -Phi Kappa Phi
- -Phi Theta Kappa
- -University of Alabama Outstanding Dissertation Award, 2005 (sole winner across all colleges and disciplines)
- -University of Alabama, College of Arts and Sciences Outstanding Dissertation Award, 2005

- -Charles G. Summersell "Best Article" Prize, 2005, Southern Historian
- -Artemas Killian Callahan Sr. Scholarship, 2004–2005, University of Alabama School of Law
- -Judge Henry H. Mize Scholarship, 2004—2005, University of Alabama School of Law
- -History Department Dissertation Fellowship, Fall 2003, University of Alabama
- -Graduate Council Dissertation Fellowship, 2002–2003, University of Alabama Graduate Council

UNIVERSITY & DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE

- -Chair, Department of History and Social Sciences, 2008–14
- -Founding Director, UWA in Ireland, 2017-
- -Adviser-at-Large and Academic Credentials Coordinator, UWA International Programs, 2014—
- -Team Academic Adviser, Varsity Men's & Women's Soccer, 2013-
- -Faculty Mentor, UWA Athletic Department, 2011–15.
- -Tutwiler Scholars Committee, 2016-2019.
- -University T&P Review Group, 2020-
- -Faculty Senate Departmental Representative, 2020-
- -Chair, McIlwain-Bell Trustee Professor Award Committee, 2020-21
- -T&P College Review Group, College of Liberal Arts, 2008-14
- -T&P College Review Group, College of Education, 2014-15
- -T&P College Review Group, College of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, 2016–19
- -Ed.D. in Rural Education, Advisory Group, 2017
- -University Charter School, Curriculum Committee, 2017
- -University Athletic Committee, 2010-
- -University Honors Program Committee, 2008–11
- -University Honors Council, 2011-15
- -University Academic Council, 2008–14
- -University Graduate Council, 2008–14
- -University Council on Teacher Education, 2008–14
- -Campus Parking and Transportation Committee, 2010—
- -SACS Accreditation Team, Editorial Committee, 2011–12
- -SACS Response Special Committee, 2014
- -Search Committee, Assistant Director of International Programs, 2019
- -Search Committee, Assistant Professor of Political Science, 2017-18
- -Search Committee, Assistant Professor Psychology, 2014
- -Search Committee, Director of Admissions, 2011
- -Search Committee, Archival Records Management Coordinator, Center for the Study of the Black
- -Search Committee, UWA Campus School Director, 2010
- -Search Committee, Assistant Professor of Educational Research, 2009-10
- -UWA Constitution Day Committee, 2005-14
- -History Department Curriculum Review Committee, 2009-14
- -Judge, Alabama History Day, 2019
- -Judge, Behavioral Sciences Division, West Alabama Regional Science Fair, 2010–16
- -Judge, Humanities Division, Alabama Junior Academy of Science, 2009

- -College of Liberal Arts Representative, Academic Integrity Committee, 2008-14
- -College of Liberal Arts Representative, Faculty Information Committee, 2008–13
- -Co-chair, College of Liberal Arts Homecoming Planning Committee, 2008
- -Search Committee Chair, Assistant Professor of U.S. History, 2008–2009
- -Search Committee, Director of Educational Programming and Assistant Professor of Anthropology,
- -Search Committee, Assistant Professor of Inorganic Chemistry, 2008
- -Focus Group on Town-Gown Relations, 2008
- -Search Committee, Director of the Center for the Study of the Black Belt and Assistant Professor of History, 2007–2008
- -University Research Grants Committee, 2007–2008
- -Sucarnoochee Review Authors' Reading, Reception Committee, 2006
- -UWA Constitution Day Speaker, 2005

COURSES REGULARLY TAUGHT

HY 211 – U.S. History I HY 212 – U.S. History II HY 300 – Historical Methodologies HY 400 – Senior Seminar HY 314/514 – Civil War Era HY 498/598 – The Novel as History

SERVICE TO THE PROFESSION

Editorial

Editor-in-Chief, The Alabama Review, 2012–17
Assistant Editor and Business Manager, Southern Historian, 2001–02

Published Interviews

"R. Volney Riser Discusses Disfranchisement and Anti-Democracy." *The Docket* 1, no. 4 (December 2018), available online: https://lawandhistoryreview.org/issue/december-2018/

Public Commissions

Fort Tombecbé Advisory Commission, 2008–14
State of Alabama WWI Advisory Commission, 2015–17

Manuscript Referee

Agricultural History

American Political Science Review

Florida Historical Quarterly Journal of the Abraham Lincoln Association Journal of the Georgia Association of Historians Journal of Southern History Louisiana State University Press McGraw-Hill Higher Education Oxford University Press The University of Alabama Press University Press of Florida Southern Historian Southern Studies

Panel Chair/Commenter

Panel Chair and Commenter, "Government, Work, and Murder." University of Alabama Graduate Student Conference on Power and Struggle. Tuscaloosa, October 10, 2019.

Panel Chair, "Integration in the United States, Broadly Considered." University of Alabama "Where We Stand" Conference Commemorating the Fiftieth Anniversary of the "Stand in the Schoolhouse Door." Tuscaloosa, April 5, 2013.

Panel Chair and Commentator, "The Legacies of the World War I Era: Race, Reunification, and Women's Rights." University of Alabama Graduate History Students Association Conference on Power and Struggle, Tuscaloosa, February 24–25, 2012.

Panel Chair, "The Science of Food: The Transformation of American Foodways Through Science and Technology, 1880–1920." Organization of American Historians, Houston, March 17–20, 2011.

Panel Chair and Commentator, "Segregated Rights." San Francisco State University Rights Conference, San Francisco, September 17–18, 2009 (commentary offered in absentia due to illness).

Panel Chair, "Alabama's Path to Modernity: Reconsidering the New South Perspective on Global and Regional Industrial Expansion." Alabama Association of Historians Annual Meeting, the University of West Alabama, Livingston, February 6–7, 2009.

Selected Book Reviews

Steven P. Brown, Alabama Justice: The Cases and Faces that Changed a Nation. (Alabama Review, 75, no. 2 [April 2022]: 192-94.

Stephen Budiansky, Oliver Wendell Holmes: A Life in War, Law, and Ideas. (Journal of Southern History, 86, no. 3 [August 2020]: 732-33.

Edward O. Frantz, The Door of Hope: Republican Presidents and the First Southern Strategy, 1877— 1913. (Register of the Kentucky Historical Society 113, no. 1 [Winter 2015]: 126-27).

Kermit L. Hall and Melvin I. Urofsky, New York Times v. Sullivan: Civil Rights, Libel Law, and the Free Press. (Journal of Southern History 79, no. 1 [February 2013]: 227).

Bernie D. Jones, Fathers of Conscience: Mixed-Race Inheritance in the Antebellum South. (Journal of Mississippi History, forthcoming).

James Savage, Jim Garrison's Bourbon Street Brawl: The Making of a First Amendment Milestone. (Journal of Southern History 78, no. 1 [February 2012]: 232-33).

Daniel R. Biddle and Murray Dubin, Tasting Freedom: Octavius Catto and the Battle for Equality in Civil War America. (American Historical Review 116, no. 3 [June 2011]: 805–06).

Susan Reverby, Examining Tuskegee: The Infamous Syphillis Study and its Legacy. (Alabama Review 64, no. 3 [July 2011]: 242-44).

Michael A. Ross, Justice of Shattered Dreams: Samuel Freeman Miller and the Supreme Court During the Civil War Era. (Southern Historian 25 [Spring 2004]: 109–10).

David W. Blight, Race and Reunion: The Civil War in American Memory. (Southern Historian 25 [Spring 2004]: 107-08).

Charles B. Dew, Apostles of Disunion: Southern Secession Commissioners and the Causes of the Civil War. (Southern Historian 24 [Spring 2003]: 65–66).

Michael Perman, Struggle for Mastery: Disfranchisement in the South, 1888–1908. (Southern Historian 23 [Spring 2002]: 127-29).

Dictionary & Encyclopedia Entries

"Disfranchisement," in The World of Jim Crow America: A Daily Life Encyclopedia (ABC-Clio, 2019)

"NAACP" and "Powell v. Alabama," in the Encyclopedia of the Supreme Court of the United States (Gale Group, 2008)

"Convict Leasing" in the Encyclopedia of Anti–Slavery and Abolition (Greenwood Press, 2007)

"Trilateral Commission" in Conspiracy Theories in American History: An Encyclopedia (ABC-Clio, 2004)

"Joseph Philo Bradley," "William Rufus Day," and "Sanford Ballard Dole" in the Historical Dictionary of the Gilded Age (M. E. Sharpe Publishers, 2003)

"Saenz v. Roe," "U.S. v. Butler," "Missouri v. Holland," "Craig v. Boren," "Balanced Budget Amendment," "American Tobacco Case," "Granger Cases," "Ex parte Garland," and "Collector v. Day" in the Dictionary of American History (Scribner's, 2002).

CONSULTING

Amici

"Historians' Brief," Allen v. Milligan, 2023, No. 21–1086, United States Supreme Court

Expert Witness Testimony/Litigation Support

Expert witness in McLemore v. Hoseman, 2019-, No. 3:19-cv-00383, U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi.

Expert witness in Thompson v. Merrill, 2018-, No. 2:16-cv-00783, U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama.

OTHER PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Academic Tutor and Student Athlete Personal Monitor, 2003–2004 Athletic Department, The University of Alabama

Benefits Specialist, March 1997-August 1997 Florida Retirement System Tallahassee, Florida

Uniform Commercial Code Examiner, May 1995—March 1997; August 1997—May 1998 Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations Tallahassee, Florida

Editor, 1993-1995 Florida Division of Administrative Hearings Tallahassee, Florida

EDUCATION

Doctor of Philosophy, U.S. History, 2005 The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama -University of Alabama Outstanding Dissertation Award, 2005 (sole winner, across all colleges and disciplines)

- -College of Arts and Sciences Outstanding Dissertation Award, 2005
- -Graduate Council Dissertation Fellowship, 2002-2003

Master of Arts, History, 2000

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama

Bachelor of Arts, History, 1998, Cum Laude

The Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida

Bachelor of Arts, Humanities, 1995

The Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida

Associate of Arts, 1992

Tallahassee Community College, Tallahassee, Florida

REFERENCES

Kari Frederickson, Ph.D.

Professor of History The University of Alabama kari@ua.edu (205) 348-7100

Ashley Dumas, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Department of History and Social Sciences The University of West Alabama adumas@uwa.edu (205) 652-3830

Mark D. Davis, Ph.D.

Dean, College of Liberal Arts Director, International Programs The University of West Alabama mdavis@uwa.edu (205) 652-3570

Mark D. Hersey, Ph.D.

Associate Professor of History Co-Editor, Environmental History Mississippi State University mhersey@history.msstate.edu (662) 325-3604

EXPERT REPORT OF R. VOLNEY RISER

CONTOURS OF INTERRACIAL POLITICAL COALITION-BUILDING IN LATE-NINETEENTH CENTURY ALABAMA

May 17, 2024

EXHIBIT

Single 2

INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

- 1. My name is R. Volney Riser, and my residence is Livingston, Alabama. I appear in this matter in a private expert capacity, and plaintiffs' attorneys have retained me to research and describe historical efforts in Alabama to discourage or inhibit interracial political coalition building through the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.
- 2. My methodological approach reflects standard professional norms for qualitative historical research. I derive my conclusions from close analysis and reading of manuscript sources buttressed by my authoritative background in the relevant secondary literature. I am compensated at the rate of \$200 per hour. My fee is not contingent upon either my opinions or the outcome of this matter.
- 3. I am a historian of U.S. political and constitutional history, and I am a Professor of History at the University of West Alabama ("UWA"). I have taught at UWA since 2005. From 2012-2017, I was Editor-in-Chief of a historical journal, the Alabama Review, the official academic outlet of the Alabama Historical Association. I hold bachelor's degrees from Florida State University (1995 and 1998), and I hold an M.A. (2000) and a Ph.D. in American History (2005) from the University of Alabama. My formal historical training concentrated on U.S. Constitutional History and Southern History, and I completed both a master's thesis and doctoral dissertation that examined Alabama's 1901 Constitutional Convention. Regarding the latter, I was selected for the College of Arts & Sciences' "Outstanding Dissertation" award for 2005, and I was subsequently also announced as the university's "Outstanding Dissertation" award winner for that calendar year. At UWA, I teach or have taught courses in U.S. Constitutional History, African American History, the Gilded Age & Progressive Era, the Jazz Age and Great Depression, and the History of the American South.

McLemore v. Hosemann, 3:2019-CV-00383 (S. D. Miss, 2019) and Thompson v. Merrill, 2:16-

cv-783-ECM-SMD (M. D. Ala., 2020). In 2022, I joined an amicus brief in Milligan v. Allen,

¹ R. Volney Riser, "Disfranchisement, the U.S. Constitution, and the Federal Courts: Alabama's 1901 Constitutional Convention Debates the Grandfather Clause," *American Journal of Legal History* 48, no. 3, 237–79 (Fall 2006) (evaluating the Alabama Constitution framers' concerns that their disfranchisement provisions might trigger judicial intervention or punitive congressional responses); Riser, "The Milk in the Cocoanut': Booker T. Washington, Theodore Roosevelt, and the Fear of Conspiracy in Alabama's 1901 Constitutional Ratification Referendum," *Southern Historian* 26, 30–54 (Spring 2005) (discussing prominent conspiracy theories that helped drive ratification of Alabama's "disfranchising" constitution); Riser, "The Burdens of Being White: Empire and Disfranchisement," *Alabama Law Review* 53, no.1, 243–72 (Fall 2001) (addressing the concurrent public and policy-makers' debates over the relationship between disfranchisement and territorial expansion).

² Riser, "Disfranchisement," in *The World of Jim Crow America: A Daily Life Encyclopedia* (ABC-Clio, July 2019); Riser, "NAACP" and "Powell v. Alabama," in the Encyclopedia of the Supreme Court of the United States (Gale Group, 2008); Riser, "Convict Leasing" in the Encyclopedia of Anti-Slavery and Abolition (Greenwood Press, 2007); Riser, "Joseph Philo Bradley," "William Rufus Day," and "Sanford Ballard Dole" in the Historical Dictionary of the Gilded Age (M. E. Sharpe Publishers, 2003); Riser, "Saenz v. Roe," "U.S. v. Butler," "Missouri v. Holland," "Craig v. Boren," "Balanced Budget Amendment," "American Tobacco Case," "Granger Cases," "Exparte Garland," and "Collector v. Day" in the Dictionary of American History (Scribner's, 2002).

599 U.S. ____ (2023). Though I am a scholar of constitutional and legal matters, I am not an attorney.

SUMMARY

- 6. Various tropes occur within late-nineteenth century southern political culture, and some of the most durable are ones concerning various manipulations of African Americans' votes. Theft, fraud, steal, destroy—these terms are casually cast about in debates about disfranchisement, as are discussions about "qualification" and "intelligence." The root of all this, however, the impulse behind statutory suffrage restriction and later disfranchisement, was white men's voting behavior. Disfranchisement happened when and how it did because white men were leaving the Democratic fold. When white men broke away from the party, even in relatively small numbers, that effectively put African American voters back in play.
- 7. Examples are sadly rare where with respect to African American voting Alabama political leaders expanded their vocabularly beyond crude anecdotes about ballot box stuffing and alleged vote fraud schemes. From Emancipation forward, Whites struggled to either believe or accept that African Americans could make political decisions for themselves, denigrating them as a "bloc" to be manipulated rather than as a class of voters qualified to act in their own interest in partnerships or coalitions of their own design or choosing. White men were said to selflessly and bravely "stand together" to support the Democratic ticket out of patriotic devotion; Black men were described as thoughtless and venal, consumed by avarice and ignorance. As for white men who broke with the Democratic party, they were either scalawag Republicans or they were

alleged to have voted the Populist ticket because they were duped by charlatans.³ Conservative Democratic leaders denounced those white dissidents who tolerated any degree of interracial cooperation as "race traitors." Interracial coalitions thus were discussed as fears or threats and never as mere political arrangements of mutual agreement entered into freely.

8. Democrats were not alone in their hostility toward African Americans. A significant faction within the Republican party was just as committed to limiting African Americans' political opportunities, both on the ballot and at the ballot box. This manifested first in the Liberal Republican movement of the early 1870s and then was reenergized at the turn of the century. The "Lily White" initiative of the early twentieth century sought to capitalize upon Democrats' successful disfranchisement campaign, and its leaders moved to purge African Americans from their ranks, presenting a new white man's party to Alabama voters.

RECONSTRUCTION AS AN EXPERIMENT IN INTERRACIAL COALITION BUILDING

9. The first important political coalition in U.S. history was the antebellum abolition movement, and, after the Civil War and Emancipation, Congressional Reconstruction was the United States government's first attempt to support and encourage interracial democracy by official means. Alabama's experience in Reconstruction confirmed the broader regional pattern. 4 Emancipation

³ "Scalawag" is a term peculiar to the Reconstruction era South, and it refers to southern-born whites who joined the Republican Party or otherwise cooperated with the occupation governments during Congressional Reconstruction. Scalawags are usually lumped together with "carpetbaggers," who were northerners (whether Black or White) who came to the South in search of state or federal office and who participated in or otherwise supported the Reconstruction governments. Though in the political context carpetbaggers are officeholders, the term applied to all northerners who moved South and participated in the Republican Party. These are not terms partisans would take for themselves—they were always epithets, in this case epithets applied by Democrats to their opponents.

⁴ The two longstanding authoritative histories of the Reconstruction era are Eric Foner's Reconstruction: America's Unfinished Revolution, 1863-1877 (Harper's, 1988) and W. E. B. DuBois's Black Reconstruction in America, 1860-1880 (Harcourt, 1935).

of itself was not enough to bring African Americans into the political system. The Freedmen required protection and needed encouragement, and they found both in the Republican Party-affiliated Union Leagues. With the implementation of Congressional Reconstruction,

Freedmen's gains were sustained with federal civil and military authorities ensuring (or attempting to do so) their physical safety and the fair conduct of voter registration and elections. White Democrats, meanwhile, initially boycotted state politics, participation in which required swearing loyalty oaths to the United States as well as accepting the fact that African American men now could register and vote. This boycot gave rise to two of the more durable lies told of Reconstruction, that white southerners had been "disfranchised," and that Reconstruction-era politics were forcefully dominated and controlled by Black voters and Black officeholders.

REPUBLICAN HOSTILITY TOWARD AFRICAN AMERICANS

10. Republicans were often just as hostile as Democrats toward African American political participation. Republicans agreed with maintaining the Union, and beyond that, their attitudes sharply diverged. For present purposes, it is enough to understand they were divided on the question of whether Blacks should have a voice in the party and in affairs of state. That may at first glance seem surprising, which reflects southern Democrats' success in flattening Republicans' image into a two-dimensional caricature. It obscured any appreciation of internal

⁵The Union Leagues originated in border states and large cities during the Civil War as secret men's clubs of Union supporters who worked semi-covertly to combat Copperhead (pro-Southern) Democrats' activities. These were effectively Republican Party auxiliaries and after the War, northern interests sponsored their activities in the occupied South, encouraging and supporting the Freedmen's entry into the political process. Regarding both the Union League, see Michael W. Fitzgerald, *The Union League Movement in the Deep South: Politics and Agricultural Change During Reconstruction* (LSU Press, 1989).

⁶ For up-to-date studies of Alabama more generally in the Reconstruction Era, see, e.g.: William Warren Rogers Jr., Reconstruction Politics in a Deep South State: Alabama, 1865-1874 (Alabama, 2021); Michael W. Fitzgerald, Reconstruction in Alabama: From Civil War to Redemption in the Cotton South (LSU Press, 2017); Margaret Storey, Loyalty and Loss: Alabama's Unionists in the Civil War and Reconstruction (LSU Press, 2004).

Republican Party debates and internecine public squabbles about both (1) whether African Americans should be encouraged to participate fully in the political process and (2) the particular aims of Reconstruction, especially regarding the Grant Administration's aggressive pursuit of an Emancipationist vision for the postbellum U.S., one focused on racial justice and federal support for the same.7

- 11. The contours of Republicans' internal debates about the direction of Reconstruction are welldocumented, and they are every bit as complex and tortured as was the broader national experience of the War and its aftermath. Even a trained specialist can get lost in its thicket of characters and conflicts. It is helpful, then, to follow particular biographical examples, in this case the prominent Mobile attorney, politician, and commentator Frederick G. Bromberg. Bromberg's career and recollections offer a useful window into the true state of Reconstruction era Alabama politics, and the arc of his political career bears out Alabama's development of (or failure to do so) a healthy, durable interracial democracy.8
- 12. Frederick Bromberg was by the early twentieth century recognized as a prominent Mobile attorney, and in his spare time published historical reminiscences in the Papers of the Iberville Historical Society and dabbled in editorial commentary for the Mobile Unionist, a progressive Republican newspaper. Bromberg was conspicuous, and for some suspicious, because of that latter distinction, but he was no mere curiousity. He was one of the last survivors of Alabama's

⁷ See, e.g.: Loren Schweninger, Black Citizenship and the Republican Party in Reconstruction Alabama. Alabama Review 19, no. 2 (April 1976): 83-103; Schweninger, James T. Rapier and Reconstruction (Chicago, 1978); Richard Bailey, Neither Carpetbaggers nor Scalawags: Black Officeholders During the Reconstruction of Alabama, 1867-1878 (NewSouth Books, 2010); Michael W. Fitzgerald, Urban Emancipation: Popular Politics in Reconstruction Mobile, 1860-1890 (LSU Press, 2002); William Warren Rogers, Jr., Reconstruction Politics in a Deep South State: Alabama, 1865-1974 (Alabama, 2021); Peter Kolchin, First Freedom: The Responses of Alabama's Blacks to Emancipation and Reconstruction (Praeger, 1972); Sarah Woolfolk Wiggins, The Scalawag in Alabama Politics, 1865-1881 (Alabama, 1977).

⁸ Margaret Davidson Sizemore published the only lengthy biography of Bromberg. See, "Frederick G. Bromberg of Mobile: An Illustrious Character, 1837-1928." Alabama Review 19, no 2 (April 1976): 104-112.

Reconstruction governments. Bromberg was a Harvard undergraduate when Alabama seceded, and he remained in Cambridge for the duration of the War working as Prof. Charles Eliot's laboratory assistant and as a mathematics instructor. He returned home after the war to begin a teaching career, and he immediately allied himself with the Republican party, thus becoming a scalawag. Bromberg held a number of municipal offices in the early years of Reconstruction before being elected to the state senate in 1868 and to the U.S. House of Representatives in 1872.9

13. Offended by the then-current "Lost Cause" myths of Reconstruction, Bromberg took it as his duty to try and correct Democratic lies, starting with the claim of carpetbag rule—"...a most gross error, which an honest inquiry would easily have demonstrated.." Working through the details of his political career, Bromberg showed that at all levels and at all times, native white southerners held the upper hand. African Americans and mixed-race "creoles" participated in government, but, again, only as part of a broader, white-dominated coalition. In the legislature, he recollected that two of Mobile county's Representatives were of African American descent. In the thirty-two member Senate, he knew "one white Democrat...and one negro." Among the other thirty members, only nine were carpetbaggers. The governor, Winston Smith, was an Alabamian, though the lieutenant governor was a carpetbagger. And, regarding the 100-member

⁹ See, generally: Sizemore, "Frederick Bromberg of Mobile."

¹⁰ Frederick Bromberg, "Reconstruction Period in Alabama, part I." Papers of the Iberville Historical Society, no. 3 (1911): 2. The work that immediately prompted Bromberg's essays was William Garrot Brown's A History of Alabama, for Use in Schools (University Publishing Company, 1900), and Brown reflected the developing historiographic trends that originated in the work and teaching of William Archibald Dunning, chiefly his The Constitution of the United States in Civil War and Reconstruction: 1860-1867 (1897), Essays on the Civil War and Reconstruction and Related Topics (1897), and Reconstruction: Political and Economic, 1865-1877 (1905). Dunning trained directly scores of historians attending Columbia University, and through his broader professional influence shaped an entire generation of American scholars and commentators. Among those was Walter Lynwood Fleming, whose Civil War and Reconstruction in Alabama (MacMillan, 1905) dominated scholarship on the state until the mid-twentieth century. For a broad overview of the entire Dunning School and its legacy see John David Smith and J. Vincent Lowery, eds., The Dunning School: Historians, Race, and the Meaning of Reconstruction (Kentucky, 2013).

¹¹ Bromberg, "Reconstruction, pt I," 4.

Filed 12/06/24

House, he was "certain the number of negroes did not exceed thirteen." Bromberg felt unable to state exactly how many Representatives were carpetbaggers, but "I think...it is safe to say that all of the representatives who came from the counties which had a majority of white registered voters...sent natives, or old ante-bellum citizens."¹³

- 14. Bromberg was writing in 1910-1911, some forty years after the fact, by which time Democratic mythmaking had firmly cemented in the broader public's mind the notion of Black domination and heavy-handed carpetbag rule. Disputing that myth was Bromberg's purpose. What he devoted less attention to, or, rather, what your average conservative white Democrat would perhaps not apprehend, was a broader truth about Republican-ruled Alabama: white Democrats were not Black Alabamians only enemy, for even within Republican ranks, they were attacked and undermined not because of policy, but because of their color. Bromberg cared about correcting the record because he took offense at being classed himself as party to any Black domination or carpetbag rule. Carpetbaggers, Bromberg explained, were Northerners who followed or participated in the U.S. Army's occupation of the South "whose chief purpose was to secure the offices required to be filled under the reconstruction measures, and who generally allied themselves with the negroes, as an ignorant, pliable class of voter, in opposition to the better element of the Republican party."¹⁴
- 15. Republicans, nationally and at the state-level, split into "Regular" and "Liberal" factions, with the former championing interracial democracy and an Emancipationist vision of the Civil War and Reconstruction. Liberal Republicans, on the other hand, emerged as critics of the Grant Administration's many corruption scandals but also and more significantly as opponents of

¹² Bromberg, "Reconstruction, pt I," 5.¹³ Bromberg, "Reconstruction, pt I," 6.

¹⁴ Bromberg, "Reconstruction, pt I," 1.

Grant's efforts at a wholesale reconstruction of southern society. They fiercely objected, for example, to the Ku Klux Klan Acts and Grant's enforcement of the same. Frederick Bromberg was a Liberal, and his 1872 election reflected that faction's national strategy of seeking alliances with Democrats. Indeed, the Republican Bromberg was elected as the Democratic Party nominee, running against the "Regular" Republican nominee, Jeremiah Haralson, a well-known African American political activist. Rejected in 1874 by both Democrats and Republicans, Bromberg sought reelection as a fringe party nominee, but this time Jeremiah Haralson handily won the seat. Thus Bromberg's electoral career came to an end, and he devoted himself professionally to the law.

16. As a private citizen, as a civic leader and political commentator, and as a committed Republican, Bromberg also was a lifelong critic of African American political involvement and a champion of the disfranchisement movement. Bromberg's personal papers contain relatively few documents pertaining to his personal or political affairs, but what does survive is telling. Washington, D.C., attorney Luther Smith wrote in 1896 concerning a legal matter and added commentary on Bromberg's prior suggestion "that the colored electors ought to be taken off of the republican [sic] ticket and white men put in their stead." 15 Bromberg wanted Blacks out of the political arena. Writing in 1901 to Harvard President Charles Eliot, under whom Bromberg had worked as a research assistant, he noted with satisfaction that "with the removal of the negro out of politics in the south [sic], as a voting and office-holding factor, the prevailing threa[t] of social equality between whites and blacks will be removed." And Bromberg was no mere spectator to the disfranchisement campaign in Alabama, recalling proudly in a 1925 Alabama

¹⁵ Luther Smith to Frederick Bromberg, August 3, 1896. Frederick Bromberg Papers, Southern Historical Collection, UNC-Chapel Hill.

¹⁶ Frederic Bromberg to Charles Eliot, December 27, 1901, Bromberg Papers.

Law Journal article that he had suggested to the President of Alabama's 1901 constitutional convention a prohibition on Black office-holding, and that he continued to press the suggestion to state officials well into the new century.¹⁷

17. Bromberg's recollections are the late-in-life reminscences of a single retired politician, but his example bears out the well-documented if broadly underappreciated truth that even during Reconstruction, that one brief attempt at interracial democracy, white partisans sought to undermine, exclude, and isolate Black voters and prospective office-holders. Bromberg recognized that Blacks could have no influence or voice in elections absent interracial coalition building, and he resented that they had been able to do so. This only underscores the fact that for Blacks to hold any ground in the political arena required that they fight a two-front battle against white Democrats and hostile Republicans, one that carried on well into the twentieth century.

REDEMPTION AND BOURBON CONTROL

18. The Reconstruction Era never saw any effective threat to white control, but white men divided between the Republican and Democratic parties, and the former cemented their majorities with Black men's support and votes. Though Democrats gained significant electoral purchase through their condemnation of white Republicans who "demeaned" themselves by their association with African American voters, Democrats ultimately regained control of state politics through threats, intimidation and violence. While Republicans had sought African American

¹⁷ Frederick Bromberg, "The Right to Vote-The Right to Hold Office." Alabama Law Journal, 1925, reprinted in "Frederick George Bromberg," in History of Alabama and Her People, vol. II (Chicago: American Historical Society, Inc., 1927). 682-84; 683.

votes, Democrats *took* them. Having thus "redeemed" the state, Democrats governed more or less unchallenged through the so-called Bourbon Era. 18

19. Though Alabama's conservative white majority definitely (and, again, openly) manipulated, threatened, and cajoled African American voters, they did not through either registration or apportionment devote significant energies to addressing white division. This is not because Whites never divided politically, but because there was for decades no chance of any meaningful inter-racial cooperation, which would have been the only means to threaten Democratic control. Alabama Democrats thus saw no need to refresh their toolbox until the early 1890s, when various agrarian-based protest movements peeled away significant numbers of previously reliable Democratic votes. White men were leaving the conservative Democrat fold in significant enough numbers to give Black voters new relevance, and thus began a decade of furious efforts to first control and then disfranchise both Blacks and those Whites who displayed any willingness to form or participate in inter-racial political coalitions.

^{18 &}quot;Bourbon" was an epithet applied by opponents and critics of the Democrats who "redeemed" their states from Republican rule. The term itself derived from critics of the French royal house, of whom it had been said that the Bourbons "learned nothing and forgot nothing." In the early- to mid-nineteenth century, "Bourbon" was an epithet any political faction might apply to their opponents, but by the 1880s, it settled upon the conservative Democratic redeemers. Democrats never would have called themselves "Bourbons." Instead, southern party regulars called their organization "the Democracy," and disaffected agrarians in different settings called themselves "Jeffersonians" or, once silver emerged as a political issue, "Silver Democrats." The father of Southern history, C. Vann Woodward, wished historians would abandon the term, but his proposal proved unpersuasive. (C. Vann Woodward, Origins of the New South, 1877-1913 [LSU Press, 1951] 75 n. 1). William Allen Going's Bourbon Democracy in Alabama, 1874-1890 (Alabama, 1951) remains the best standalone study of Alabama from Redemption through the Bourbon era. The best-known treatment of Redemption at present is Nicholas Lemann's Redemption: The Last Battle of the Civil War (Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2006). Lemann's study focuses on Mississippi, but it is applicable to the whole of the Deep South. George Rable's But There Was No Peace: The Role of Violence in the Politics of Reconstruction (Georgia, 1984), Michael Perman's The Road to Redemption: Southern Politics, 1869-1879 (North Carolina, 1984), and Dan Carter's When the War Was Over: The Failure of Self-Reconstruction in the South, 1865-1867 (LSU Press. 1985) are useful as well.

GROWING THREAT OF INTERRACIAL COOPERATION

- 20. There has for generations in academic circles been a battle of French phrases concerning whether disfranchisement amounted to a *fait accompli* or a Bourbon *coup d'etat*. With respect strictly to African American ballot access, the difference is effectively null. Their votes were routinely miscounted and manipulated in the two decades before disfranchisment; afterward, they had no votes that could be manipulated. Likewise, before disfranchisement came to Alabama, Bourbon Democrats ran the state, and Democrats ran it afterwards. So why do it all? The answer is white division, or, rather, to deny any other white-dominated faction the ability to either benefit from African American votes or to form any effective interracial electoral coalition. ¹⁹
- 21. Disfranchisement came to Alabama following the tumultuous 1890s, when conservative, "Bourbon" Democrats and agrarians warred for control of the state's government. White men had since Emancipation overwhelmingly voted Democratic, but 1880s agrarian discontent and an early 1890s national economic depression attracted wage laborers and small farmers into a new "People's Party" (a.k.a. the Populist Party), portending doom for the state's conservative Democratic rulers. Eighteen-ninety was the year agrarianism blossomed fully across Alabama's political landscape, but agrarians were not conservatives' only worry that year. It was also the year of Blair's Education Bill and Lodge's Elections Bill, the latter of which proposed to

¹⁹ For an overview of the disfranchisement movement, see, e.g., R. Volney Riser, Defying Disfranchisement: Black Voting Rights Activism in the Jim Crow South, 1890–1908 (Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press, 2010); Michael Perman, Struggle for Mastery: Disfranchisement in the South, 1888–1908 (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2001); J. Morgan Kousser, The Shaping of Southern Politics: Suffrage Restriction and the Establishment of the One-Party South, 1880–1910 (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1972); Sheldon Hackney, Populism to Progressivism in Alabama (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1969); C. Vann Woodward, Origins of the New South, 1877–1913 (Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press, 1951); V. O. Key, Southern Politics in State and Nation (New York: Vintage, 1949).

establish broad federal statutory authority over state elections, which would have guaranteed African Americans could act freely in the political arena.²⁰

- 22. With the political and social order they had nurtured since Reconstruction seeming to crumble, besieged conservatives maintained their grip on government through brazen frauds. This was not new. Political hacks had bought, sold, stolen, and destroyed Black men's votes ever since Black men first had votes for them to buy, sell, steal, and destroy. From Redemption through the 1890s, Alabama's conservative Democrats used these practices to settle intra-party disputes. But in the 1890s, interracial coalitions of Populists and Republicans threatened to unseat Democrats.
- 23. Alabama's Democratic leaders recognized that their actions were their own greatest problem. Yet they stopped short of blaming themselves. Instead, the Democracy laid blame for the 1890's political turmoil at its white opponents' feet. Interracial political coalitions were illegitimate factions; Populists and white Republicans thus were social deviants. If not for Black voters, they reasoned, those factions could gain no purchase. The threat was not actually that Black men would vote and attain office, rather that with white men's votes divided, Black men's votes could be sought, won (or just taken) by rival white parties. The Democratic party had lost its monopoly over white voters, and uncertain of their ability to manipulate and control the count of Black ballots, they acted to eliminate any threat of interracial electoral cooperation.

²⁰ The authoritative treatment of this period in Alabama remains William Warren Rogers, Sr.'s One-Gallused Rebellion: Agrarianism in Alabama, 1865-1896 (LSU, 1970).

ALABAMA'S 1899 CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION AND THE 1900 DEMOCRATIC PARTY PRIMARY

- 24. Treatments of the disfranchisement movement in Alabama typically began with a discussion of the Sayre Bill and then continue on to the 1901 Constitutional Convention. Relatively little attention, though, has been paid to the 1898 legislative session and its consideration of the 1898 constitutional convention enabling act, and what treatments do exist imbue that legislation with an air of inevitability. Securing its passage had not been easy or simple, and a significant campaign had been launched through the state press pushing for its adoption. A circular legislation, and would be elected to the U.S. House of Representatives in 1900. A circular
- legislation, and would be elected to the U.S. House of Representatives in 1900. A circular authored by Bowie appeared around the state in February 1898. In an ocean of comment, Bowie's stood out for its precise dissection of the threat facing conservative Democrats and for its detailed accounting of how that attack might unfold. Conversations about disfranchisement tend to focus on what happened to Black voters in Black-majority counties, but White counties were Bowie's real concern. Using Talladega County as his example, "it is a matter of arithmetic merely to demonstrate that if the solid negro vote be added to 251 whites they can elect whomever they please, though the 251 whites be opposed by 2,945 of their own race, or more than thirteen to one."
- **26.** For Bowie and his fellow Democrats, African American voters could only be presumed to act as an unthinking bloc, and, unless they agreed with the mass of White voters, an illegitimate one.

²¹ The Sayre Bill is discussed in all histories of Alabama published in the last half-century or so, but the only standalone work on the subject is David Ashley Bagwell's article "The 'Magical Process': The Sayre Election Law of 1893." *Alabama Review* 25, no 2 (April 1972): 83-104.

²² The most accessible and well-known published study on Alabama politics specifically in this period is Sheldon Hackney's *Populism to Progressivism in Alabama* (Princeton, 1969).

And, the potential for this African American "bloc" to win the day was an unparalleled danger. Bowie denounced men who emphasized voting frauds from the Black Belt counties and who denied their contra-Democratic stance was at odds with white supremacy. White dissent coupled with African American votes, i.e. interracial coalition-building, was intolerable. "We are opposed," he continued, "to the rule of a minority of white men...as odious to every principle of free government, and of the whole essence of democracy, which is not in the least mitigated by the fact that minority is supplemented by a sufficient number of blacks to turn the scales." White politicians' appeals for African American votes meant that "the negro...is the arbitrator and his vote changes the result... Negro arbitration in principle is not distinguishable from Negro rule..." (emphasis added). 23

27. Bowie would not consider anything less than the wholesale disfranchisement of African Americans. Anything else was a waster of time and an effort to "keep obscure the purpose to cut this running sore from the body politic of the state for fear the time has not yet arrived for speaking plain words about unquestioned evils." Bowie cast his call as a cry for salvation: "Under existing conditions white division is fraught with great perils," and "where all things are subordinate to one party," by which he meant African American voters, "white men themselves are only half free." Bowie likened interracial coalitions and the influence Blacks derived from them to something demonic, insisting it must be exorcised to secure white men's futures: "Relief from the incubus of negro suffrage is essential to the full freedom of the white man" (emphasis added).24

²³ "Proposed Constitutional Convention," Birmingham Age-Herald, 20 February 1898, p 10. The Age-Herald did not attach Bowie's name to this in the February 20 edition, but it did so when it re-ran it the next day. 24 Ibid.

29. Joseph Johnston never opposed disfranchisement, and he was no champion of African American voting rights, but he understood just how unpopular the initiative was, and knew it would disproportionately affect renegade white voters who had broken with the party.²⁷ Race was the cornerstone issue in the 1900 Democratic senatorial preference primary.²⁸ Johnston and Morgan each advocated disfranchisement, but the Governor warned that the Bourbons intended to disfranchise lower and working-class whites along with Blacks. Morgan, for his part,

²⁵ Hackney, Populism to Progressivism in Alabama, 148-151; 163-167.

²⁶ Mobile Daily Register, 19 November 1899.

²⁷ Joseph F. Johnston, "Negro Suffrage in Alabama," The Independent 51 (8 June 1899): 1535-1537.

²⁸ Official party nominations came from local and state Democratic conventions, but there was an open primary where voters could express a preference.

subordinated every real issue to white supremacy. He and his supporters advocated wholesale Black disfranchisement at every turn and alleged that Johnston opposed the same. Morgan's campaign strategy had not been the least bit creative, but it was effective. Sydney Bowie rode Morgan's coattails to a Democratic congressional nomination, and now, as the effective U.S. Representative-elect, he wrote to salute Morgan, declaring that "political morality and decency have been signally vindicated."²⁹

1901: AFRICAN AMERICANS ARE DISFRANCHISED

30. The pro-disfranchisement legislature swept into office by the 1900 primary in short order approved an enabling act, setting the stage for the 1901 constitutional convention. The story of the 1901 convention is well-known at this point, and, dominated as it was by the Democratic Party, there is little use here in recounting its internal deliberations. It is the subsequent ratification referendum that matters for present purposes. Joseph Johnston, now the former governor, led the Anti-Ratification campaign. In this, as was true when he successfully fought to call off the 1899 constitutional convention, Johnston was driven primarily by his senatorial ambitions, calculating that leading the Anti effort would (1) make sure his Populist-leaning voting base would not lose their right to vote and (2) have no ill effect upon his political future. Johnston and his allies operated separately from African American leaders, though Pro-Constitution forces of course attempted to link Johnston with the cause of Black voters, and the charge seemed to gain little ground. In the end, the 1901 Constitution was ratified only through

²⁹ Sydney Bowie to John Tyler Morgan, 17 April 1900, John Tyler Morgan Papers, Manuscript Division, Library of Congress (microfilm copy, Auburn University) reel 3; Andrew L. Williams to John Tyler Morgan, 1 May 1900, Morgan Papers, reel 4.

the curious "support" of the state's African American population, who provided the final 108,613 to 81,734 victory.³⁰

31. In the immediate aftermath of ratification, rumors and whispers swirled that various combinations of Populists, Republicans, and otherwise disaffected Democrats would secret themselves onto county Boards of Registrars to sabotage the 1902 registration. The seriousness of those is hard to judge. 31 While all this unfolded, and while conservatives basked in victory, following the referendum the Anti-Ratificationists launched a push for control of the Alabama Democratic Party. This movement was the natural result, a Washington Post editorial observed, of an "intestinal quarrel" in Alabama, the manifestation of "the long-pending clash between the Bourbon and the progressive elements of the white population."³² Bourbons had controlled the Democratic party (and the state) via the voting apparatuses of the Black majority counties. That is how through theft and intimidation they could "count in" Black votes as they saw fit. But, with upwards of ninety-eight percent of the state's Black men disfranchised, power shifted toward white population centers where a majority of voters had opposed ratification. From this shift was born the direct "White" primary movement in Alabama. After a failed 1902 attempt to regain the governorship, in 1906, with conservatives unable to "count in" Black votes against him, Joseph Johnston emerged as one of two victors (U.S. Representative John Hollis Bankhead Sr. was the other) in the Alabama Democratic Party's peculiar "Dead Shoe" U.S. senatorial primary of that same year.33

³⁰ Riser, Defying Disfranchisement, 134-37.

³¹ See, e.g. regarding the implementation of the 1901 Constitution's suffrage provisions, Riser, Defying Disfranchisement, 138-53.

³² Washington Post, 18 November 1901.

³³ Johnston claimed that incumbent governor William Dorsey Jelks had benefitted from misconduct in the 1902 Democratic primary, though this is debatable. The 1906 "Dead Shoe" primary was staged to designate "alternate" U.S. Senators in the event of the death or resignation of either of the elderly incumbents, John Tyler Morgan and Edmund Winston Pettus. The intention was to direct the legislature when it voted to appoint a new Senator (Congress submitted the Seventeenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution to the states six years later). Seven

Case 2:21-cv-01291-AMM

- 32. Even as Democrats maneuvered to inoculate themselves from the threat of interracial coalition-building, they maneuvered as well to exploit Republicans' own well-documented internal hostility toward African Americans, flirting and teasing them with the idea that in an all-white game, Republicans would attain a new measure of respectability among white voters generally. U.S. Representative John Hollis Bankhead Sr. told a *Washington Star* reporter in late May that "many men of wealth and social and business prominence" voted Democratic "under protest." Alabama's industrial leaders were among them and were Republicans at heart and "if conditions were such as to admit of it would vote with the Republican party. As long as the negro is in politics, however, they cannot do so. They have to ignore every other consideration in politics when confronted with the danger of negro domination." 34
- 33. Bankhead's comment could be dismissed as a mere gambit if not for the fact that a sizeable faction of Alabama Republicans was as keen as Democrats to cast out Blacks from state politics, eager to reassure white voters there was nothing interracial about the GOP. Forty years earlier, these would have been Liberal Republicans such as Frederick Bromberg. Now, though, they were "Lily Whites," and as soon as Alabama ratified the 1901 constitution, they moved aggressively to seize control of the Republican party apparatus.
- **34.** What happened inside Alabama's Republican Party reflected a broader regional trend. The new constitutions "which made it impossible for a negro to vote," Henry Litchfield West wrote

candidates entered the race, including John Barnett Knox, President of the 1901 Constitutional Convention, and former governor William C. Oates. U.S. Representative John Hollis Bankhead Sr. finished first and Johnston second. The legislature confirmed Bankhead's appointment in July 1907 following Morgan's death in June. Pettus died in July 1907, just days after Bankhead entered the Senate, and Johnston succeeded him in short order. See, e.g.: Grace Hooten Gates, "The Dead Shoe Primary." Huntsville Historical Review 2, no 1 (January 1972): 3-17 and Kari Frederickson, *Deep South Dynasty: The Bankheads of Alabama* (Alabama, 2021): 34 "The Negro Question," *Washington (D.C.) Evening Star*, 2 May 1901, p 1.

in the October 1902 Forum, "have resulted in the creation of a White Republican party." The Democracy's dominance over southern politics would not soon abate, but "the seed of disintegration has been sown in the disfranchisement of the negro and the consequent possibility of a Republican party without a black attachment."³⁵ West's assertion is questionable. Simply eliminating Blacks from the party could not really help southern Republicans. First, disfranchisement did not (and nor was it ever likely to) weaken "the Democracy." Democratic party machines dictated the southern states' disfranchisement campaigns, and Democrats dominated every state afterward. Second, even if white Democrats had been tempted to switch their party affiliation, President Roosevelt, like President Grant before, was doing his best to preserve Blacks' standing within the Republican Party, and that was something that no selfrespecting southern Democrat could or would abide.

35. Black Republicans and the interracial "Black-and-Tan" faction they belonged to did not take the Lily White movement lightly or passively. 36 They refused to go without a fight and announced a campaign against their G.O.P. assailants. "They will raise \$10,000," the Daily Ledger reported, "to wage a war on the lily whites."³⁷ It helped that they had Booker T. Washington on their side, and Washington wasted no time in alerting the President as to what was afoot. But Roosevelt required no coaxing. He responded quickly and harshly to Alabama's Lily White movement and started to remove Republican appointees who had cast their lot with it. 38 Alabama's Lily Whites remained publicly defiant, convinced that purging Blacks was a necessary step towards building a "respectable" white man's Republican Party.

35 Henry Litchfield West, "American Politics," Forum 34, no. 2 (October 1902): 173-176, 174-175.

³⁶ Southern Republicans were divided into Lily White and Black-and-Tan factions until the Lily Whites' final triumph in the 1960s, which coincided with a broader realignment of southern party politics in the 1960s and 1970s. ³⁷ Birmingham Daily Ledger, 19 September 1902.

³⁸ Louis R. Harlan, Booker T. Washington: The Wizard of Tuskegee, 1901-1915 (Oxford, 1983) 7-10. This began in September 1902, when he fired Birmingham U.S. Attorney William Vaughan, a former state party chairman who had aided the Lily Whites. Roosevelt replaced Vaughan (who had obtained his position by the grace of Mark Hanna)

36. While Republicans argued through late 1902 and early 1903, concerns began to emerge that the debate was encouraging Blacks to feel that they mattered. Joseph Johnston, anticipating another future senatorial run, complained to an interviewer that Roosevelt's approach had caused "unrest . . . among the Negroes, who are being led to believe that they are a factor in the politics of the country and must insist upon their rights."³⁹

CONCLUSION

37. From Emancipation onward, the overwhelming majority of Alabama's white political class, irrespective of party affiliation, rejected the premise of interracial democracy and fought to obstruct and undermine any interracial political coalitions. From a remove of more than 150 years, this has in the popular mind flattened into a simple White/Black dichotomy, where Democrats are the former and Republicans are the latter. That, however, only proves how successfully Democrats had twisted Alabama's political vocabulary, for it carefully elides away Alabama Republicans' own pronounced unease toward African American voters.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 16th day of May 2024, at Livingston, Alabama.

with a Democrat---Judge Thomas R. Roulhac. (New York Times, 8 October 1902, 20 October 1902, 25 October 1902, and 26 October 1902; Birmingham Daily Ledger, 12 September 1902) In November 1902, Roosevelt struck again, firing Lily White collaborationist Julian Bingham from the post of Collector of Internal Revenue for Alabama. Bingham was also succeeded by a Democrat---Tuskegee Postmaster Joseph Thompson, brother of Alabama U.S. Representative Charles Thompson, whose district included Booker T. Washington's Tuskegee Institute. (Baltimore (Md.) Afro-American Ledger, 15 November 1902; New York Times, 11 November 1902; Washington Post, 11 November 1902). Three more Alabama Lily White officeholders were "marked for decapitation by rumor," the New York Times reported. Birmingham Postmaster J. W. Hughes, Montgomery U.S. Attorney W. S. Reese, and Mobile U.S. Marshall Frank Simmons expected to be Roosevelt's next victims. (New York Times, 13 November 1902).

³⁹ Chicago Broad Ax, 11 July 1903.